

**MT. SHASTA CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING DRAFT MINUTES**  
**Monday, August 12, 2013; 6:30 p.m.**  
**Approved as Submitted 09-09-2013**

**1. Call to Order And Flag Salute**

At the hour of 6:31 p.m. Mayor Moore called the meeting to order and led the audience in the recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance.

---

**2. Roll Call**

**Present:** Council Members Michael Burns, Sr., Jeffrey Collings, Geoff Harkness, Timothy Stearns, and Mayor Tom Moore.

**Absent:** None

---

**3. Public Comment: NONE**

---

**4. Approval Of Minutes:** Minutes of July 19, 2013 Special City Council Meeting  
Minutes of July 22, 2013 Regular City Council Meeting

**Council Action:** Council Member Stearns moved, seconded by Council Member Harkness to approve the minutes of the July 19, 2013 Special City Council Meeting and July 22, 2013 Regular City Council Meeting. Motion carried on a voice vote of 5-0.

---

**5. Special Presentations & Announcements - NONE**

---

**6. Consent Agenda - The City Manager recommends approval of the following Consent Agenda items:**

- a. Approval of Disbursements:** Accounts Payable: 07/22/13, 07/24/13, 08/06/13, and 08/07/13  
Total Gross Payroll and Taxes: For Period Ending 07/30/2013 and 8/13/13

**Council Action:** Council Member Harkness moved, seconded by Council Member Stearns to approve the Consent Agenda. Motion carried on a voice vote of 5-0.

---

**7. Consider Resolution No. CCR-13-38 RE: A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Mt. Shasta Approving a Memorandum of Understanding for Participation in the Regional Water Management Group for the Upper Sacramento-McCloud-Lower Pit Region (City Manager)**

City Manager Marconi provided staff report.

Council Member Collings requested the history as to why the particular clause regarding approvals was changed. City Manager Marconi responded that the Integrated Regional Water Management Plan Steering Committee (IRWMP) created an interim governance structure and a decision making framework devised, which Siskiyou County voted against it. Siskiyou County volunteered the County Counsel and a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) was drafted. The IRWMP governance subcommittee suggested changes to the MOU draft. In July, the subcommittee met to finalize the MOU with County Counsel, Tribal Authorities, and with the three jurisdictions of Mt. Shasta, McCloud, and Dunsmuir. Tribal leaders made it clear that they would not agree to an MOU which gave the County veto power which was implied by the specific wording of "agreed to." The wording was recommended to be "does not contravene the statutory authority."

The County Board of Supervisors gave direction to their representatives stating that they would not agree to an MOU that did not have the wording of "agreed to." Council Member Collings asked who has the power within the various groups and what is the effect of the County not signing the MOU?

City Manager Marconi stated that if County does not sign it means that the County is not a part of the Regional Water Management Group (RWMG). He opined that it is possible that the County might initiate litigation against the RWMG. If County does not sign, they would not be eligible for funding in the Water Management Plan. So long as the City of Mt. Shasta, City of Dunsmuir, and McCloud Community Service District sign the MOU, those three groups would be the required three statutory authorities for the RWMG. City Manager Marconi opined that the RWMG would then go forward with or without the County, though the RWMG would like as broad a consensual basis as possible. Council Member Collings asked if the River Exchange or another group has funding for the Regional Water Management Group? City Manager Marconi responded that the River Exchange with the support of City of Dunsmuir, City of Mt. Shasta, and McCloud Community Service District, applied for a grant from the Department of Water Resources to create a Regional Water Management Plan. Money was set aside from the Bond cycle for the creation of a plan, but there is not funding for operations.

Council Member Stearns provide a historical background stating that former City Council Member Spelliscy who was also the Executive Director of the River Exchange was the one who started this process. There have been two visions for this group. One vision is aligned with City Manager Marconi. The second is the vision from Siskiyou County which would give the County veto power. Council Member Stearns is in approval of striking the words "without the approval" and "agreed to by" phrases from 2.04B. Council Member Stearns is also in approval of having Council Member Harkness as the representative upon the RWMG.

Council Member Burns asked why the County wishes to hold the authority of the RWMG? City Manager Marconi responded that there is a level of distrust between the Siskiyou County Board of Supervisors and Siskiyou County Staff, and various state agencies that have to do with natural resource use. He opined that the removal of the Klamath Dams is also a flash point, as well as ground water use in Scott Valley, and that there is a level of fear that a group will impose something upon Siskiyou County that Siskiyou County does not want or is not prepared for. Siskiyou County was instrumental in setting up the North Coast Regional Water Management Group which is governed by seven counties and three or four tribal authorities. Within those bylaws, if something is not approved of by the County where it is located, then the other Counties would not vote for it either. City Manager Marconi stated that he attempted to convince the Siskiyou County Board of Supervisors that the City of Mt. Shasta and City of Dunsmuir have the same interests that the County does and would not vote for anything within the plan that would contradict the interests of the County. Council Member Burns stated that he is in agreement with Council Member Stearns proposal.

Council Member Harkness also agrees with Council Member Stearns proposal of the verbiage and accepts the position to be the representative of the RWMG. Council Member Harkness noted that it is important to move forward due to the fact that there may not be funding available after this year. He asked what grants will be applied for and how much is available? City Manager Marconi has proposed a grant for the upgrades for the Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) which is not complete due to awaiting the completion of the feasibility study. Upgrades for the WWTP are assumed to be over 10 million dollars. It is also believed that the City will not receive the entirety of the 10 million dollars. Council Member Harkness opined that any amount does help and feels it is hard to believe that the County would not feel the same way in terms of the WWTP project. City Manager Marconi opined that the language probably is not going to make a difference to the City of Mt. Shasta but it could make a difference in who is ultimately going to be a part of the RWMG. Council Member Harkness asked if the only way to move forward is with the support of Dunsmuir and McCloud but also have the support of the Tribal Authorities who may not sign on unless the language of MOU does not allow veto power.

City Manager Marconi stated that though the tribes are not necessarily needed for the creation of the RWMG, the group wants the tribes, the County, and the environmental stewardship groups to make a better plan. However, the basic requirement for the RWMG is three statutory groups, which would be Mt. Shasta, McCloud, and Dunsmuir. Since it is an integrated plan, it makes sense to have the other groups involved. Council Member Harkness asked if the group that is being set up will essentially be unfunded going forward. City Manager Marconi stated yes and that finding funding is the first stage of the process.

Mayor Moore asked how long that City Manager Marconi had been involved in this process and who should be the alternate. City Manager Marconi stated that it has been 3.5 years. Mayor Moore opined that the County should not have veto power, and that the City Manager should be the representative with Council Member Harkness as the alternate with the concern that due to the length of the process, the City Manager would be consistent while Council Members come and go. City Manager Marconi stated that the representative can change at any time and that Council Member Harkness has been involved for the past six months and would create a smooth transition.

**Council Action:** Council Member Stearns moved, seconded by Council Member Collings to approve and adopt Resolution No. CCR-13-38 Approving a Memorandum of Understanding for Participation in the Regional Water Management Group for the Upper Sacramento-McCloud-Lower Pit Region with modifications previously noted by title only. Motion carried on a voice vote of 5-0.

---

#### **8. Discussion and Possible Action RE: Pavement Management Plan/Sidewalk Management Plan (Director of Public Works)**

Director of Public Works Bryan provided staff report.

Council Member Harkness asked about projects currently not in the Capital Improvement Plan that are also more than the \$100,000 that has been allocated to chip sealing and how this information will be integrated into the Capital Improvement Plan. Director of Public Works Bryan stated that the \$250,000 is based on doing part or a combination of either of the two Lake Street projects. There is not enough to do the entire project. Council Member Harkness noted that PACE stated that we can contract out to the County and asked if this is currently what is being done with chip sealing. Director of Public Works Bryan stated yes, and that the Mt. Shasta crew does help. The City provides traffic control and assistance while the County provides the equipment and personnel. Council Member Harkness asked if other cities place the burden of sidewalk repair on homeowners or business owners? Director of Public Works Bryan stated it is California State Law. Council Member Harkness asked if the City currently carries this process out. Director of Public Works Bryan stated yes though not on a wide spread basis. This practice has only occurred a few times in the past when the issues become a public safety concern. Council Member Harkness asked about how the budget is allocated for future projects and how much more should be allocated to maintain the streets? Director of Public Works Bryan stated millions. There are three blocks that require complete reconstruction. Alma Street from Chestnut to Rockfellow is 2.05 million dollars. Council Member Harkness asked if the City is putting in \$350,000 a year for chip seal and maintenance projects or is it more? Director of Public Works Bryan stated that \$100,000 a year is usual with this year having an additional sum for the Lake Street project due to the location. Council Member Harkness asked for confirmation that \$25,000 for maintaining sidewalks is enough or is it underfunded. Director of Public Works Bryan stated that it depends on who pays for it, the City or the property owners. Council Member Harkness asked about grants to fund the sidewalk projects. Director of Public Works Bryan stated that there is the Safe Routes to School projects grant that included minor sidewalk work. The RSTP project includes some sidewalks on Pine Street. Council Member Harkness stated that a project is putting in sidewalk from Alma to Sisson and asked if the property owners will not pay for this project. Director of Public Works Bryan stated that since it is part of a project that is funded by a grant, the property owners will not have to pay for it.

Council Member Burns asked about the Spring Hill Drive PCI number. Director of Public Works Bryan stated it was 81 before the chip seal. Council Member Burns stated that the Spruce to Alder and Alder to Chestnut is at 28. Director of Public Works Bryan confirmed the section as Alma Street which is part of the STIP project, that it is funded with 2.05 million dollars to repair. The worst possible street is having the reconstruction.

Council Member Stearns stated that the City is preserving the 15 miles that are good by chip sealing and not chip sealing those that are fair or poor. Director of Public Works Bryan stated that no, the City will still chip seal those that are fair and sometimes poor condition as that is the only option due to funding. This process does extend the life of the road, however not as long as it would for streets in better condition. Council Member Stearns commented that the plan does not set priorities for the streets to be repaired. He asked if Staff or PACE has set up a priority for work on poor condition streets. Director of Public Works Bryan stated that there are no other priorities beyond the PCI. Council Member Stearns asked if the intent is to go by PCI numbers?

Jim Elkins, with PACE Engineering, responded that in general there are more problems and needs than funding can cover. The State and Federal Government collects money through the gas tax and redistribute it through the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and other grant programs with the occasional proposition voted on by voters. At the moment, the City has the STIP grant for the Alma street project and has been waiting for 5 to 10 years for the project to come around for funding. There are no new projects or propositions in the next 5 years to gain funding for projects. The City could put \$500,000 to a million dollars aside every year and not keep up with the roads. Chip seal is cost effective, however it is not the ideal solution. Chip sealing roads in poor condition helps, but it is not the recommended way to go. These roads already need reconstruction; however the funding is not present. Spending the money to maintain roads before they need reconstruction makes funding go further. Prioritizing was not included in the scope of this project and without the money to complete the projects, and five years before possibly having funding for the projects, the conditions of the roads would have changed.

Council Member Harkness asked if it was conceivable to categorize the streets above 90-80 PCI. Jim Elkins stated good, fair, poor. Council Member Harkness stated that then the City can keep track of the total number within each category or average score for the entire town to see how the town is trending over time. Mayor Moore stated that this is already done within the project. Council member Harkness agreed it was completed, but not in terms of prioritizing whether or not to apply for major grants due to the condition of the majority of the streets. Jim Elkins stated that Director of Public Works Bryan and City Manager Marconi have been applying for the major grants and propositions. Currently there is no additional money coming through the State or program funding. The STIP is a five year program that is updated every two years, and in two years there may be funding to be put out in five years. Mayor Moore stated that in five years, the streets could be in worse condition, and to prioritize the streets right now for projects five years from now would not include the possible deterioration of the streets in five years. Jim Elkins agreed and stated that by maintaining roads in fair and good condition by chip sealing, then there won't be more roads falling into the poor condition that need reconstruction.

Council Member Stearns stated that Jim Elkins' comments are treating all the roads as equal when they are not. Jim Elkins agreed. Council Member Stearns stated that Mt. Shasta Boulevard is used far more than any other and is the main arterial for the City which is in poor condition. He opined that maintaining roads in good condition at the expense of an arterial road is not addressing the needs of the City. Mayor Moore clarified on the map that Mt. Shasta Boulevard is fair condition, not poor. Jim Elkins stated that there is \$100,000 a year, and that there is a list of roads that have been done. Washington Drive is a good example that could take 2 million dollars. In 20 years with \$100,000 a year that would mean the other roads would not be maintained. Council Member Stearns restated his question to Staff of how are the roads prioritized that are in poor condition and what is the plan for maintaining those roads recognizing that there will not be major funding. Jim Elkins stated that they could create a plan but there is no funding to implement the plan. Council Member Stearns stated he wanted to know what can actually be done. Mayor Moore stated that what can be done is what the City is currently doing.

The City is maintaining the fair streets and not putting money into the poor streets due the state of repair. Alma Street has been a point of contention with the State to be repaired since 2006, which was turned down. Council Member Stearns noted that there has not been a grant approved since 2006.

Mayor Moore stated that it was his understanding that the State denied the funding for the project. He stated that he appreciates the fact that the City is maintaining the roads that are fair to good, and recognizing that any money put into the poor roads would take away from any maintenance of the fair and good roads. Council Member Stearns asked how will the City make sure the roads in poor condition continue to be usable and safe until funding is found? Director of Public Works Bryan stated that chip seal can be done, and Jim Elkins stated that pot holes can also be repaired. Council Member Stearns observed that there was going to be a change of plan to emphasizing chip sealing the good roads. Director of Public Works Bryan stated that each year he will go to the plan and revise the PCI to ensure that the City can cover some of the roads that are in good condition as well as roads that are heavily used. The City cannot address every road that is in poor condition. Council Member Stearns restated his question of how those roads are prioritized that are not in good condition for future service. City Manager Marconi responded prioritization is as much art as science and that the City now has a tool with the PCI but there are still extremely limited resources, making prioritizing difficult. Chip sealing the roads we have on a periodic basis is something that the City can do. Replacing Mt. Shasta Boulevard is a 10 million or 20 million dollar project. Chip sealing the Boulevard on a periodic basis to maintain what we have is reasonable and doable. Washington Drive is in poor condition; however it has additional problems such as right away so that putting money into that project now is not cost effective. There is no method or plan that will allow the City to cover 100 million dollars' worth of needs with \$50,000 a year. The City will have to rely on staff and PACE to do the best we can. Council Member Stearns asked if there is a rotation plan for chip sealing roads? Director of Public Works Bryan stated that there is a rotation plan every 8-10 years. Mt. Shasta Boulevard has been chip sealed within the last four years as the City recognizes that it is a main road. Jim Elkins stated that a plan could be created but in 2-3 years the plan will change. Council Member Stearns asked if the City knows what roads will be chip sealed next year. Jim Elkins stated no. Council Member Stearns opined that it would be best for Council to know what streets are planned to be maintained in the interim. Director of Public Works Bryan stated it is not efficient to bring a plan to Council every year that is constantly changing based on the current state of the road each year. Council Member Stearns stated that the information would be helpful for Council when discussing the budget. Director of Public Works Bryan stated that which streets are being worked on does not affect the budget.

Council Member Stearns moved to the topic of sidewalks and confirmed that it is a State Law that property owners are responsible for sidewalks, however if they are not maintained, the City is liable. The Council needs to take this into consideration when deciding when to enforce repair of the sidewalks. Sidewalks should have, in addition, to green, yellow, red designations, a plan prioritizing repair and maintenance.

Council Member Collings moved the topic to underground utilities and if it was possible to place empty conduit under the streets currently being worked on for future fiber for broad band. Mayor Moore stated that this was done on Chestnut. Council Member Stearns believes it is a good idea. Jim Elkins questioned who would be putting in the fiber, to what standards would the conduit be constructed, and who would fund the project. Council Member Collings stated it would be a third party with no funding at the moment, but simply a straight conduit with no additions at this time. Jim Elkins estimated that the cost would be approximately \$10-15 per foot. Council Member Stearns that there are a few months to search for funding from the schools, the State, private citizens, or the City could find funding. Jim Elkins stated that the project on Alma has been approved and the conduit could be added in the future once funding is found. Council Member Collings estimated the cost to be \$25,000. Jim Elkins believes that the State grant cannot cover the conduit.

---

**9. Consider Resolution No. CCR-13-39 RE: A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Mt. Shasta Adopting the Illness & Injury Prevention Program for the City of Mt. Shasta (City Manager/Director of Public Works) \*\*\*CONTINUED FROM JULY 22, 2013 MEETING \*\*\***

City Manager Marconi provided staff report.

Council Member Stearns supports the Illness & Injury Prevention Program (IIPP) though he would like the distracted driving section stronger.

Mayor Moore confirmed that the distracted driving section is located in the public works plan. Director of Public Works Bryan stated that it is in the personnel policy, SOPs, etc. Mayor Moore requested to see the personnel policy and agreed with Council Member Stearns.

Council Member Burns asked what the tag out procedure is. Director of Public Works Bryan clarified the process. Council Member Burns asked if there was a log for the tag out process to which Director of Finance Terrell confirmed there was.

**Council Action:** Council Member Collings moved, seconded by Council Member Burns to approve and adopt Resolution No. CCR-13-39 Adopting the Illness & Injury Prevention Program for the City of Mt. Shasta by title only. Motion carried on a voice vote of 5-0.

---

**10. Consider Resolution No. CCR-13-40 RE: A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Mt. Shasta Authorizing The Closure Of East Castle Street For The Datsun Roadster Club (City Planner)**

City Manager Marconi provided staff report.

Council Member Burns stated that he has heard concerns from business owners that visitors of the events use the restrooms within the businesses and do not purchase products from the businesses. Are there porta-potties? City Manager Marconi stated that porta-potties are required. Council Member Burns asked if there is an inspection prior to the event? City Manager Marconi and Director of Finance Terrell both stated that they had walked through the event. Other safety checks are completed prior to the event. Council Member Burns wants to support Datsun but there needs to be additional research with the businesses. City Manager Marconi stated that research can be done, though the City did not hear any complaints regarding the Datsun event.

Council Member Stearns is supportive of the event and asked for clarification on the resolution. City Manager Marconi stated that paragraph two is a part of all the resolutions and gives the City Manager some leeway on dates and times to fit the needs of the City. Council Member Stearns asked if there was a reason why the City Council could not make it a continuing resolution for future years. City Manager Marconi stated that the Datsun Roadster Club had considered asking for such a request. City Council Manager Marconi questioned as to whether it was ready to become an annual event, and if it grows to a substantial level it will outgrow the current location. Council could make it an ongoing event at this time, or wait. Council Member Stearns suggested modifying the resolution to add "support 2014, and future years."

Council Member Harkness posed a hesitation at securing a date for an event that could vanish and to have to hold that date for that group specifically. Council Member Stearns stated that the resolution would provide the City Manager enough leeway. Mayor Moore clarified that the resolution addresses dates, times, and locations under the discretion of the City Manager.

Mayor Moore is not in favor of the resolution due to hearing concerns from a local business who was closed down for business due to this event. Mayor Moore suggested the use of the parking lot behind Parker Plaza instead of closing down the street. Mayor Moore was in favor of closing the street for the Art Walk due to the nature of the businesses affected. He stated that the businesses need to be asked if an event affects them before Council closes the street in the future. He opined that railroad sounds between the parking lot and Chestnut street are not varied enough to make a difference.

Council Member Collings does support the resolution. He opined that it is the job of the Council to represent all constituents and that he does shop at the businesses that had complained. As a consumer he waits a day and the event does not affect his choice of businesses. Mayor Moore stated that he was voicing the concerns of the constituents. Council Member Collings believes that the Council should bring in more events which would bring in more revenue.

Council Member Burns requested to study locations that are not in conflict with businesses. Council Member Collings hears similar concerns and is supportive of looking at alternative locations, though not the parking lot behind Parker Plaza. He understands the bathroom issue, however believes it is an ongoing concern that is not an event only issue. Events bring in more tourist dollars and revenue that keeps schools open and funds the projects that constituents would like.

Council Member Stearns clarified the resolution stating that the only solid Castle Street closure is for 2014. The added language in paragraph two allows the City Manager and staff to determine future years, while this resolution invites the Datsun group to return.

Council Member Burns clarified the compromise by stating that the date within 2014 would remain and keep the dates, times, and locations open. His concern is that if Castle Street becomes crowded, an alternative location will still need to be located. Council Member Burns does not wish to deter the Datsun group but needs to look to the future about growth of events. Council Member Stearns suggested that between now and July the City could address the concerns by the constituents and determine solutions.

Director of Public Works Bryan stated that Staff had not heard the concerns and had required the Datsun group to go to each business and personally talk with the owners about the event. There was no negative feedback through that process. If concerned owners come to staff, staff can address the concerns while working directly with the organizers of the event.

Mayor Moore did not see the need to move on this resolution tonight and would rather take the time to research other locations. Tourism is needed; however local businesses need to prosper as well.

**Council Action:** Council Member Stearns moved, seconded by Council Member Harkness to approve and adopt Resolution No. CCR-13-40 Authorizing The Closure Of East Castle Street For The Datsun Roadster Club by including "2014 and future years" by title only. Motion died on a voice vote of 2-3.

Council Member Stearns suggested to add "or other agreeable location" to paragraph one. Mayor Moore was not in favor of this change due to leaving Castle Street in the resolution, and it does not address the problem.

Director of Public Works asked about the Farmers Market. Mayor Moore stated that he asked businesses about that specific event and he opined that the market takes up a small area, and had asked for the market to go to the parking lot as well due to how congested the market currently is.

Council Member Harkness stated it was possible to move forward with the resolution and place as a future agenda item looking at alternate locations and more appropriate locations for this type of an event. It is important to move forward to bring in the tourist dollars and to support the local businesses directly impacted by the street closure.

Council Member Stearns clarified that the modified resolution does not state that this event must be on Castle Street, as it could be at an alternate location. Council Member Burns is in full support of what Council Member Stearns is saying but questions if there is another location. Council Member Stearns stated that he modified the resolution to provide for another location. Mayor Moore does not wish to move on this resolution tonight, wait for a month, then invite the organizers to return to Mt. Shasta so the Council could show the alternate location for their event.

Council Member Collings stated that the parking lot behind Parker Plaza is not full during events and should remain as a parking lot and showcase the event on Mt. Shasta Boulevard.

**Council Action:** Council Member Stearns moved, seconded by Council Member Harkness to approve and adopt Resolution No. CCR-13-40 Authorizing The Closure Of East Castle Street For The Datsun Roadster Club by including “or other agreeable location” and “2014 and future years” by title only. Motion carried on a voice vote of 3-2, with Council Member Burns and Mayor Moore opposed.

---

**11. Consider Resolution No. CCR-13-41 RE: A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Mt. Shasta Approving a GovTeller for the Processing of City Payments Electronically (Director of Finance)**

Director of Finance Terrell provided staff report.

Mayor Moore suggested the City look into an auto debt system from Tri Counties bank and that any account can use this system.

**Council Action:** Council Member Stearns moved, seconded by Mayor Moore to approve and adopt Resolution No. CCR-13-41 Approving a GovTeller for the Processing of City Payments Electronically by title only. Motion carried on a voice vote of 5-0.

---

**12. Discussion and Possible Action RE: Appointing a Committee to Review Information Technology Service Proposals (Director of Finance)**

City Manager Marconi provided staff report.

Mayor Moore suggested Council Member Stearns and Collings for this committee. Council Member Stearns agreed and asked which other Department Heads would be involved. City Manager Marconi suggested Police Chief Cross and Director of Public Works Bryan, who both agreed.

---

**13. Discussion and Possible Action RE: Consideration of Designation of a Voting Delegate and Alternate(s) for the League of California Cities Annual Conference, and Direction to Voting Delegate on the Two Resolutions to be Considered by the Conference General Assembly (City Manager)**

City Manager Marconi provided staff report.



Mayor Moore confirmed whether or not Council Member Burns, Collings, and Harkness were attending the League of Cities. Council Member Harkness asked if this conference was budgeted. Director of Finance Terrell confirmed that it has been budgeted. He stated he could make himself available to attend. Council Member Burns declined due to personal obligations. Council Member Collings stated he could go but questioned if all proposed Council Members should attend. Mayor Moore stated that this conference is valuable. Council Member Collings stated he is available to attend though is concerned about how tight the budget is.

Mayor Moore appointed Council Member Collings as the voting delegate with Council Member Harkness as the alternate.

Council discussed how to vote for both resolutions. Discretion was given on resolution number one to the voting delegate. A vote of yes was given for resolution number two. Council Member Collings will return to the next meeting with information regarding resolution one to discuss the vote at that time.

Council Member Harkness asked Police Chief Cross what the impact of resolution number two was on Mt. Shasta. Police Chief Cross stated that it does have an impact as currently the local jails are full which means that current prisoners are being released. New prisoners are released immediately due to jails being full.

---

**14. Discussion and Possible Action RE: Cancellation of August 26, 2013 Council Meeting**  
City Manager Marconi provided staff report.

Council Member Burns asked what the transition for the City Manager would be. City Manager Marconi stated that he has agreed to meet with the new City Manager to help with the transition.

**Council Action:** Council Member Stearns moved, seconded by Council Member Harkness to cancel the August 26, 2013 Council Meeting by adjourning to September 9, 2013. Motion carried on a voice vote of 5-0.

---

**15. Brown Act Committee Minutes/Meetings**

Minutes for July 16, 2013 CEDAC Meeting, including Business Outreach Survey  
Minutes for July 19, 2013 ATAC Meeting

---

**16. Reports RE: Attendance at Outside Meetings**

Mayor Moore attended the LTC received information regarding funding for paving projects.

Council Member Collings attended the Community Health Partnership to look at different health care options in the County in light of Obamacare.

---

**17. Future Agenda Items:**

- **Approve a Memorandum of Understanding for County Libraries and Local Communities with Siskiyou County**
- **Execution Of Amendments to Memoranda of Understanding Between The City Of Mt. Shasta And Operating Engineers Union Local No. 3 Communications Dispatchers; And Police Officers Bargaining Units**
- **Amendments to Sign Ordinance RE: Electronic Internally Lit Signs**

Mayor Moore asked how much Parks and Recreation water goes into Sisson Field. Director of Public Works Bryan stated that it was no more than last year and they have actually cut back.

Council Member Harkness requested alternative sites for events with possible direction from staff on those locations though this is an ambiguous task with no direction from Council regarding specifics of locations. Council Member Stearns suggested waiting for the new City Manager to transition before raising the issue. Mayor Moore would prefer locations that target the area for the event such as the Art Walk.

---

**18. Council and Staff Comments**

City Manager Marconi gave his goodbyes to Council. Council responded in kind.

At the hour of 9:20 p.m. Mayor Moore adjourned the meeting to Closed Session; Reconvened at the hour of 9:52p.m.

---

**19. Closed Session**

- a. Conference With Labor Negotiator – Pursuant to Government Code §54957.6  
Agency Negotiators: City Manager and Director of Finance  
Employee Organization: Operating Engineers Local Union No. 3; Police and Police  
Communications Dispatcher Units; and Teamsters Union: Miscellaneous Unit

Mayor Moore reported that staff had been given direction and no final action was taken on the Closed Session items.

---

**20. Adjourn**

There being no further business before the Council, the meeting was adjourned at the hour of 9:53p.m. to the next Regular City Council Meeting to be held on Monday, September 9, 2013 at 6:30p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

*Kimberly A. Barden*

Kimberly Barden, Deputy City Clerk/Administrative Assistant  
For John E. Kennedy Sr., City Clerk