

Mt. Shasta Active Transportation Committee Meeting Agenda

Mt. Shasta City Hall, 305 North Mt. Shasta Blvd

Friday, October 21, 2016; 8:30 a.m.

“Our mission is to maintain the character of our “small town” community while striking an appropriate balance between economic development and preservation of our quality of life. We help create a dynamic and vital City by providing quality, cost-effective municipal services and by forming partnerships with residents and organizations in the constant pursuit of excellence.”

ITEM	STANDING AGENDA ITEMS
1.	Call to Order & Roll Call
2.	Special Presentations & Announcements:
3.	Public Comment: This is an opportunity for members of the public to address the Committee. The Committee reserves the right to reasonably limit the length of individual comments and/or the total amount of time allotted to public comments. For items which are on this agenda, speakers may request that their comments be heard instead at the time the item is to be acted upon by the Committee. The Committee may ask questions but may take no formal action on items addressed during the Public Comment period except to direct staff to prepare a report or place the item on a future agenda.
COMMITTEE BUSINESS	
4.	Review and Approval of Minutes: a) ATC Draft Minutes for September 16, 2016 Meeting <u>Recommended Action:</u> Modify if necessary, and Approve Minutes.
5.	Report from Public Works Director Regarding Current City Projects <u>Background:</u> Monthly update on relevant public works projects. <u>Report by:</u> Rod Bryan, Public Works Director <u>Recommended Action:</u> Information Only, Receive Report
6.	Bicycle, Pedestrian and Trails Master Plan Update <u>Background:</u> Report on progress on developing the scope of work for the plan update including member updates to Mike Quinn’s Excel version of Appendix G <u>Report by:</u> Melanie Findling <u>Recommended Action:</u> Receive report
7.	Update on ROW Acquisition <u>Background:</u> Council funded ROW acquisition for Midtown trail easements (trail and utility) in April of 2015. Rod is working with the city engineer. <u>Report by:</u> Rod Bryan, Public Works Director <u>Recommended Action:</u> Information Only, Receive Report
8.	ATC Response to CalTrans Hwy 89/So. Mt. Shasta Blvd. interchange improvement project and progress on countywide ATP request. <u>Background:</u> ATC met in a special meeting in November to discuss ATC’s response to Caltrans’ project. Mike Sojka drafted a resolution for Council. Update. <u>Report by:</u> Mike Sojka and Rod Bryan <u>Recommended Committee Action:</u> Receive update.

9.	<p>Recruitment of new ATC members <u>Background:</u> Update on recruitment efforts <u>Report by:</u> Melanie Findling <u>Recommended Committee Action:</u> Discuss and Take Action if needed</p>
10.	<p>ATC Monthly Press Releases <u>Background:</u> Part of our recruitment efforts and community outreach, we want to submit press releases to the local paper. <u>Report by:</u> Mike Sojka and Rod Bryan <u>Recommended Committee Action:</u> Review article topics and consider appointing a subcommittee</p>
11.	<p>Bicycle and Pedestrian Assessments <u>Background:</u> Consider assigning a subcommittee to work on bicycle (link: http://www.bikeleague.org/bfa) and pedestrian assessments (attached). This can be incorporated into plan update. <u>Report by:</u> Melanie <u>Recommended Action:</u> Information, take action as needed.</p>
12.	<p>Creating a GIS layer showing ATC's projects <u>Background:</u> Mike Sojka contacted a GIS specialist to help ATC develop a GIS layer depicting ATC projects. Update. <u>Report by:</u> Mike Sojka <u>Recommended Committee Action:</u> Receive Update</p>
13.	<p>Pedestrian Safety Crossing Mt. Shasta Blvd. at Berryvale and Old McCloud. <u>Background:</u> ATC members have observed safety issues for pedestrians crossing MS Blvd. in at least these two locations. <u>Report by:</u> Mike Sojka and Melanie Findling <u>Recommended Action:</u> Discuss and take action if needed</p>
14.	<p>Discussion of Funding Sources Keep Report by Ken Ryan</p>
15.	<p>UC Berkeley Recommendations <u>Background:</u> UC Berkeley made traffic safety recommendations to the city of Mt. Shasta. ATC wishes to follow up on those recommendations. <u>Report by:</u> Mike Sojka <u>Recommended Action:</u> Discuss and take action if needed</p>
16.	<p>Discuss 2016 ATC Goals <u>Background:</u> Review ongoing projects and earlier goals, assign priorities and responsibilities. <u>Report By:</u> Chair and Committee Members <u>Recommended Committee Action:</u> Discuss and Take Action</p>
17.	<p>Possible Action on Change in the Day/Time/Location and/or Frequency of Meetings <u>Background:</u> The committee is currently meeting on the 3rd Friday of each month at 8:30 a.m., in the City Hall conference room. <u>Report by:</u> Chair <u>Recommended Committee Action:</u> Discuss and Take Action</p>
FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS	

18.	<p>At this time, members of the Committee may ask questions of staff, request that reports be made at a later date, or ask to place an item on the agenda, on any subject within the Committee’s jurisdiction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Stellar Way Non-Motorized Access• Previously Dedicated Castle Alley to Non-Motorized Use Only• Bus Stops• Traffic Collision Data
19.	<p>Adjourn</p> <p>Availability of Public Records: All public records related to an open session item on this agenda, which are not exempt from disclosure pursuant to the California Public Records Act, that are distributed to a majority of the legislative body will be available for public inspection at City Hall located at 305 North Mt. Shasta Blvd., Mt. Shasta, CA at the same time the public records are distributed or made available to the members of the legislative body. Agenda related writings or documents provided to a majority of the legislative body after distribution of the Agenda packet will be available for public review within a separate binder at City Hall at the same time as they are made available to the members of the legislative body.</p> <p>The City of Mt. Shasta does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age or disability in employment or provision of services. In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons requiring accommodations for a disability at a public meeting should notify the City Clerk or Deputy City Clerk at least 48 hours prior to the meeting at (530) 926-7510 in order to allow the City sufficient time to make reasonable arrangements to accommodate participation in this meeting.</p>

Mt. Shasta Active Transportation Committee Draft Meeting Minutes

Mt. Shasta City Hall, 305 North Mt. Shasta Blvd

Friday, September 16, 2016; 8:30 a.m.

“Our mission is to maintain the character of our “small town” community while striking an appropriate balance between economic development and preservation of our quality of life. We help create a dynamic and vital City by providing quality, cost-effective municipal services and by forming partnerships with residents and organizations in the constant pursuit of excellence.”

ITEM	STANDING AGENDA ITEMS
1.	Call to Order & Roll Call Meeting called to order by Melanie Findling. Rod Bryan, Julianna Lucessi, Mike Quinn, Mike Sojka, Nick Riddles present
2.	Special Presentations & Announcements: none
3.	Public Comment: none This is an opportunity for members of the public to address the Committee. The Committee reserves the right to reasonably limit the length of individual comments and/or the total amount of time allotted to public comments. For items which are on this agenda, speakers may request that their comments be heard instead at the time the item is to be acted upon by the Committee. The Committee may ask questions but may take no formal action on items addressed during the Public Comment period except to direct staff to prepare a report or place the item on a future agenda.
COMMITTEE BUSINESS	
4.	Review and Approval of Minutes: a) ATC Draft Minutes for August 26 and September 2, 2016 Meeting <u>Recommended Action:</u> Meeting minutes for 9/2 were unanimously accepted as written. Meeting minutes for 8/26/16 were modified and will be resubmitted for approval at next meeting.
5.	Report from Public Works Director Regarding Current City Projects <u>Background:</u> Monthly update on relevant public works projects. Note vegetation clearing along sidewalks in August. <u>Report by:</u> Rod Bryan, Public Works Director <u>Recommended Action:</u> Report received. The following items were noted - - STIP funds will be used for the following future projects: 1) REAM Ave to be made one way at the MS Blvd and REAM intersection to Old McCloud 2) Guard Rail modifications and 3) N. MS Blvd reconstruction. - Chip sealing has been completed. Lake street and Chestnut intersection color modified to highlight intersection - Sidewalk vegetation clean up was completed.
6.	Bicycle, Pedestrian and Trails Master Plan Update <u>Background:</u> Report on progress on developing the scope of work for the plan update <u>Report by:</u> Melanie Findling <u>Recommended Action:</u> Receive report Complete street requirements are to be included in future projects. A special meeting is to be held Before the next city council meeting to discuss Master plan modifications in order to request funding and approval from City council. Julianna provided a copy of her notes resulting from the 9/2 special meeting to address Master plan update requirements.

7.	<p>Bicycle and Pedestrian Assessments <u>Background:</u> Hear report from Planner Lucchesi regarding potential bicycle and pedestrian assessments. <u>Report by:</u> Juliana <u>Recommended Action:</u> Carried forward to next meeting</p>
8.	<p>Discussion of Funding Sources Report by Ken Ryan Action: Carried forward to next meeting</p>
9.	<p>Sidewalk Maintenance Funding <u>Background:</u> Mike Quinn expressed concern about how sidewalk maintenance is funded. Discuss options. <u>Report by:</u> Rod Bryan, Public Works Director <u>Recommended Action:</u> Discuss - Side walk maintenance funding is provided for in the annual budget. As sidewalk area is increased additional funding will be required. Some of the new sidewalk repair was provided by outside resources. Property owners are responsible for sidewalk upkeep on there properties as required by the city..</p>
10.	<p>Update on ROW Acquisition <u>Background:</u> Council funded ROW acquisition for Midtown trail easements (trail and utility) in April of 2015. Rod is working with the city engineer. <u>Report by:</u> Rod Bryan, Public Works Director <u>Recommended Action:</u> Carried forward. Rod to Follow-up</p>
11.	<p>Lewis Center Student Capstone Projects Submission <u>Background:</u> Michael Williams submitted a proposal to the Lewis Center to evaluate routes connecting MS to Dunsmuir for the WMD trail. We could consider submitting applications to them in the future. <u>Report by:</u> Melanie Findling <u>Recommended Action:</u> Carried forward</p>
12.	<p>Recruitment of new ATC members Background: Update on recruitment efforts Report by: Melanie Findling Recommended Committee Action: Mike Quinn will publish an article in the MS Herald. Carried forward for further discussion</p>
13.	<p>ATC Response to CalTrans Hwy 89/So. Mt. Shasta Blvd. interchange improvement project. <u>Background:</u> ATC met in a special meeting in November to discuss ATC's response to Caltrans' project. Mike Sojka drafted a resolution for Council. Update. <u>Report by:</u> Mike Sojka and Rod Bryan <u>Recommended Committee Action:</u> Carried forward. Rod to follow-up.</p>
14.	<p>Creating a GIS layer showing ATC's projects <u>Background:</u> Mike Sojka contacted a GIS specialist to help ATC develop a GIS layer depicting ATC projects. Update. <u>Report by:</u> Mike Sojka <u>Recommended Committee Action:</u> Carried forward</p>
15.	<p>Pedestrian Safety Crossing Mt. Shasta Blvd. at Berryvale and Old McCloud. <u>Background:</u> ATC members have observed safety issues for pedestrians crossing MS Blvd. in at least these two locations. <u>Report by:</u> Mike Sojka and Melanie Findling <u>Recommended Action:</u> Carried Forward</p>

16.	<p>UC Berkeley Recommendations <u>Background:</u> UC Berkeley made traffic safety recommendations to the city of Mt. Shasta. ATC wishes to follow up on those recommendations. <u>Report by:</u> Mike Sojka <u>Recommended Action:</u> Carried</p>
17.	<p>Discuss 2016 ATC Goals <u>Background:</u> Review ongoing projects and earlier goals, assign priorities and responsibilities. <u>Report By:</u> Chair and Committee Members <u>Recommended Committee Action:</u> Carried forward</p>
18.	<p>Possible Action on Change in the Day/Time/Location and/or Frequency of Meetings <u>Background:</u> The committee is currently meeting on the 3rd Friday of each month at 8:30 a.m., in the City Hall conference room. <u>Report by:</u> Chair <u>Recommended Committee Action:</u> carried forward</p>
FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS	
19.	<p>At this time, members of the Committee may ask questions of staff, request that reports be made at a later date, or ask to place an item on the agenda, on any subject within the Committee’s jurisdiction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stellar Way Non-Motorized Access • Previously Dedicated Castle Alley to Non-Motorized Use Only • Bus Stops • Traffic Collision Data
20.	<p>Adjourn - Meeting was adjourned at 11:45</p> <p>Availability of Public Records: All public records related to an open session item on this agenda, which are not exempt from disclosure pursuant to the California Public Records Act, that are distributed to a majority of the legislative body will be available for public inspection at City Hall located at 305 North Mt. Shasta Blvd., Mt. Shasta, CA at the same time the public records are distributed or made available to the members of the legislative body. Agenda related writings or documents provided to a majority of the legislative body after distribution of the Agenda packet will be available for public review within a separate binder at City Hall at the same time as they are made available to the members of the legislative body.</p> <p>The City of Mt. Shasta does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age or disability in employment or provision of services. In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons requiring accommodations for a disability at a public meeting should notify the City Clerk or Deputy City Clerk at least 48 hours prior to the meeting at (530) 926-7510 in order to allow the City sufficient time to make reasonable arrangements to accommodate participation in this meeting.</p>

Scope of Work for Update to Master Plan for Mt. Shasta, CA

Using the original plan as a base, the work includes:

- Expand plan to include Mt. Shasta sphere of influence covering significant trails and linkages
- Update map to include new routes and recommended facilities
- Identify and connect locations with recreational and historical interest within the City of Mt. Shasta sphere of influence. (identification would be City's responsibility)
- Produce Action Plan to highlight most important steps to take to implement key plan elements
- Use online methods of garnering input from community
- Update possible funding sources for these projects
- Include model Complete Streets ordinance suitable for consideration of adoption by Mt. Shasta City Council that would include:
Policy provisions governing inter-agency and organization consistency.
Accountability provisions which could look like the annual updates done for the General Plan, i.e. a report on what has been done over the past year to implement the plan
- The plan would reference other plans like the Strategic Plan, General Plan, county plans, Community Action Plan, SLT Greenways Plan, 100 Miles of Trails.
- The plan would include policies, goals and objectives that can be reviewed annually with the City Council. The format of these items would be consistent with the City's general plan format.
- The map of proposed facilities would be in an ESRI format, suitable for including with the city's GIS database.
- Identify and connect Master Plan recommendations with local and regional organizations with goals to further active transportation. (identification would be City's responsibility) - not sure what this means, aren't these organizations going to be involved in the creation of the plan?

Extras

- Detailed Concept Development of Bike Blvd parallel to MSB
- Wayfinding?
- Include data collection, looking at (but not limited to) barriers to pedestrian and bicycle use and end of trip facilities -mel
- We would like the deliverables of the work to be GIS files related to projects and routes, tools to assess and prioritize future projects, and a list of recommendations formatted similarly to the California General Plan format. -j
- Assess current Master Plan progress - not sure why we would be paid to do this when it can be done better by City staff/ATC
- The plan would include tools to allow tracking of future progress and development of projects - not sure what is envisioned here.

COMPLETION STATUS	PROJECT NAME	DESCRIPTION
	MIDTOWN TRAIL	
	Marking	Signage and On-Street Symbols
	Piedmont E	Piedmont to Old McCloud Connection
	Lake St to	Via surface streets
	Sisson Me	Lake Street to Castle St Connector
	Castle St. t	Dedicate Castle Alley to ped/bike use only and refurbish
	Alma to Ro	Trail through city ROW from Alma St to Rockfellow Ave
	Rockfellow	Via Caroline Ave, Shasta, Butte
	Alma to Sh	Connector via Spruce and Kenneth Way
	Southern E	Southern extension likely goes through Roseburg property
	MT. SHASTA TO LAKE SISKIYOU TRAIL	
	Planning,	Route Eval/Selection
	Environmental,	Engineering
	Construction	
	Roseburg I	Connector to Roseburg Area via Old Mill and running along
	CITY PARK	Route from City Park to Mt. Shasta to Lake Siskiyou Trail
	Planning,	Route Eval/Selection
	Environmental,	Engineering
	Construction	
	Cedar St. C	Connector from Pine/Kingston intersection to City Park T
	CEDAR TC	Connector between end of Cedar St and Pine/Kingston in
	Planning,	ROW Acquisition
	Environmental,	Engineering
	Construction	
	McCLOUD RIVER RRX TRAIL	
	McCloud to	Rail to Trail Conversion once RR is abandoned
	CLASS II/III BIKE LANES	
	Mt. Shasta	Finish North & South ends of MS Blvd
	Lake Street	Finish bike lanes out to Old Stage Rd
	Alma Street	Cedar to Rockfellow (to be completed next summer)
	Alma Street	Upgrade crossing to improve walking and cycling surface
	Pine Street	Connect Lassen Ave to Lake Street
	Rockfellow	Finish east to Adams Dr/Shastice/Jefferson HS and west
	N. Mt. Shasta	Blvd
	Ream Avenue	MS Blvd to WA Barr
	Cedar Street	Kingston/Pine intersection to south end of Cedar – Bicycle
	Washington	Old McCloud to Lake Street
	Springhill	MS Blvd to Abrams Lake Rd
	Everitt Me	Bike lanes from Rockfellow to Gateway Trailhead or Black
	TRAFFIC SAFETY	
	Everitt Me	Create separation/calming for wide area in front of MSHS
	Washington	Address issues with vehicle speed, sight distance, right of
	MS Blvd/CI	Most dangerous intersection in Mt. Shasta – project scope
	Springhill/	Create island with safe haven or completely revamp inter:
	MS Blvd/	Ream Ave Intersection
	Ream Avenue	Widen Ream Avenue from MS Blvd to Old Stage Rd
	FACILITIES	
	Bike Parkir	20 bike racks for schools, parks, downtown, library
	OTHERS	
	City Park to	Connector going under MS Blvd and RRX
	MSHS/Sha	Trail from Rockfellow to McCloud RR following existing path

Remediation This project has recently been abandoned by the LTC
SIDEWALKS

Mount Shasta Infill or repair as necessary from City Park to Southern City
Pine Street Complete ADA work and Pine St sidewalks along entire length
Elementary Area bounded on the west by the city limits, on the east by
ABC Neighborhood Area bounded on the east by Washington, on the west by
ABC Neighborhood Area bounded on the east by the city limits, on the west by
Southwest Area bounded on the east by MS Blvd, on the west by the
Presidentia Area bounded on the west by Washington, on the east by
East Lake Area bounded on the west by Mt. Shasta Blvd and McClellan
MSHS Area bounded on the north and east by the city limits, on the south
Spring Hill Area bounded on the west by Mt. Shasta Blvd in the south

SPHERE OF INFLUENCE

Midtown Trail Butte St to North side of tracks

Midtown Trail Unknown route at this time -

Midtown Trail Connector from Midtown Trail to Springhill Trailhead

Midtown Trail Connection to MS Blvd from Midtown Trail around Morris

Midtown Trail Connector from Ski Village Drive to City area north of Spring

Midtown Trail Connector from Midtown Trail to Gateway Trailhead

Midtown Trail Connector from northern Mt. Shasta to Black Butte Trailhead

Midtown Trail Connector from northern Mt. Shasta to Weed

Weed to Trail Branch off Midtown Trail, Weed Connector

Mt. Shasta Siskiyou Lake Blvd from WA Barr to S. Old Stage

Pave Lake Paving of trail around Lake Siskiyou

Bike/Ped F Bike and ped facilities along length of WA Barr from Old Stage

Azalea Neighborhood Likely route is to cross Hwy 89 from residences around
Ski Park to Via McCloud RR or other route

Snowman's Via McCloud RR or other route

Mt. Shasta Two possible routes: One starting with Box Canyon Trailhead

Bike/Ped F Bike and ped facilities along entire length of Old Stage Road

Bike/Ped F Bike and ped facilities along Lake St/Hatchery Ln from City Park

Bike/Ped F Bike and ped facilities along Lassen Lane from Pine to Old Stage

Bike/Ped F Bike and ped facilities along entire length of Pine Grove

Bike/Ped F Bike and ped facilities from Spring Hill Drive to Abrams Lane

Bike/Ped F Bike and ped facilities along length of Deetz Rd from Sun

Bike/Ped F Bike and ped facilities along length of Deetz Rd from Sun

Bike/Ped F Likely route is via Rockfellow and fire escape road above
Bike/Ped F Likely route is via Old McCloud Ave

y and runs along Mt. Shasta Blvd

ing UPRR is a needed branch on this route

rail (via Kingston or I5 ROW are likely routes)
intersection

s

to

e Boulevard with traffic calming

k Butte Trailhead turnoff

i, area for pickup/dropoff of students, bike lanes on EMH.
f way width
e not yet defined
section

owerline trail

ty limit.

ength

y Mt. Shasta Blvd, on the north by UPRR, on the south by Lake St. Missing sidewalks between Lake and
MS Blvd, on the north by Lake St, on the south by Smith.

y MS Blvd, on the north by Smith, on the south by Old McCloud)

y city limits, on the north by Lake St, on the south by the city limits.

y the city limits, on the north by Rockfellow, on the south by the city limits

ud RR, on the north by the city limits, on the east by EMH, on the south by Lake Street. Missing sidewalk
the west by EMH, on the south by the city limits and Rockfellow.

hwest and the city limits in the northwest, on the south by McCloud RR, on the east by the city limits, on

St/KOA – McCloud RRX

inghill routed east of Spring Hill – via Raspberry Way

ead

Stage Rd to USFS South Fork Rd

zalea Crossing and connect to Southern Extension of Midtown Trail

MS to Lake Siskiyou Trail, second along S. Old Stage Rd

l

ommerical to Old Stage Rd

ld Stage Rd

lake area via Summit Dr and Abrams Lake Rd

ummit Dr

d Alma, sidewalks bordering Forest Service are in need of repair. Several streets in the neighborhood su

ks. A repair of Caroline is needed and a small section of Everitt Memorial needs sidewalk
the north by city limits.

urrounding the school have no sidewalks




Community Assessment Tool

Updated August 2012



Pedestrian and Bicycle
Information



Note: This document should be used for preparation and planning uses only – do not submit it to Walk Friendly Communities staff as a completed application.

To submit an application, you must create an account at <http://www.walkfriendly.org/assessment/>

CONTENTS

Instructions	2
Community Profile	5
Status Of Walking	7
Planning	10
Education & Encouragement	31
Engineering	40
Enforcement	55
Evaluation	63
Additional Questions	68
References	69

INSTRUCTIONS

Purpose of the WFC Assessment Tool

The purpose of this tool is twofold; it serves to both recognize existing walkable communities and to provide a framework for communities seeking to improve their walkability. This tool recognizes communities which have achieved high levels of walking and low rates of pedestrian crashes while also recognizing communities which are making progress in achieving these two goals through policies, projects and programs. Recognizing that there are many ways to achieve these outcomes, the range of questions in this tool attempts to capture the variety of factors that affect walkability.

There are several benefits of completing this form. First, the WFC assessment tool contains information and resources to assist agencies in improving walking conditions for your community. Through the questions and resources in this form, communities will be able to identify areas of needed improvement and use the tools to develop specific solutions. Completing this form also requires collaboration between government agencies, private not-for-profits, and the private sector, thus building stronger relationships in your community. Another advantage of this tool is that it creates a great internal resource for communities by documenting all walking-related programs, projects, and policies in one place. Most communities will be surprised by the amount they are already doing for walkability. Finally, submitting the assessment to the PBIC for scoring provides the opportunity for your community to be recognized with a designation of bronze, silver, gold, or platinum, in terms of conditions for increased and safer walking. This designation has many benefits of promoting walkability both within your community and through friendly competition with other cities.

Completing the WFC Assessment Tool

Most of the information requested for completion of this assessment tool can be soundly estimated or is relatively easy to find. The information needed to complete this assessment will likely come from a variety of municipal, county, and school district agencies and departments including the police, planning, public works, and engineering departments, and the local transit service provider. Additionally, other information that is requested may be most easily provided by local nonprofit organizations, advocacy groups, elected officials, or even a simple internet search. It is likely that the transportation agency will take the lead in this effort, but it will be important to coordinate across agencies when filling out this application. In some cases one department, such as the city or town's engineering department, will be able to complete an entire section. In other cases, it will make the most sense to have agencies or individuals, like a local Safe Routes to School task force or coordinator, answer certain questions.

How to Answer Questions

There are several different types of questions included in this assessment tool. We have described them here to clarify how each one should be answered.

For some questions, this assessment tool asks about your municipality's plans, policies, projects, and programs. In those cases, please include a link (web address) or attachment to those documents if possible. If the question requests a brief description, please summarize the policy, activity, or process in your own words. If a concise summary already exists, you may link to that summary or use that description. Include in your summary a description of the nature, scope, and results of the policy, program, or project in question.

Several questions request a substantial amount of information. Frequently, the checklists and examples are meant to act as a prompt or jog the applicant's memory, rather than to indicate that any municipality should be implementing all the measures listed. Please be detailed in your responses and answer the questions to the best of your ability.

Open-ended questions such as those asking for descriptions of measures do not have a word limit on the electronic application.

Some questions are simple yes/no or checkbox questions. In those cases, please check the appropriate box and include a hyperlink or attachment to the most up-to-date version of any requested ordinance, policy, plan, or relevant document.

Though this assessment tool is meant to be comprehensive, we recognize that each community is unique. Every city and town will have its own unique set of challenges and opportunities, so each will have a different approach to pedestrian issues. Accordingly, each section concludes with a question that offers applicants the opportunity to describe or elaborate on anything that your community is doing that may not have been addressed in the other questions.

What to Look For

When answering these questions please think broadly. Does any state or national programs (not directly implemented by you) have a positive impact in your community? Are there policies administered by other local departments that may affect the walking environment? Are there private organizations or advocacy groups doing work in your community?

When completing this assessment tool please be certain to mention any evidence-based programs or approaches your community is using, any in-depth or ongoing programs or activities, and any specific efforts to create a community-wide culture of walking. This assessment tool seeks to learn how communities are supporting walking and pedestrian safety

and how well those efforts are working. Therefore, please describe both the nature of your policies, programs, and projects as well as any outcome or evaluation of those approaches.

Criteria and Scoring

This assessment tool is divided into eight sections:

- **Community Profile**
- **Status of Walking**
- **Planning**
- **Education & Encouragement**
- **Engineering**
- **Enforcement**
- **Evaluation**
- **Additional Questions**

All sections will be scored, including bonus points from the additional questions. The scoring system will be based on percent and scores are assigned based on the number of questions in the section, the depth of information required in those questions, and the potential impact on walkability of the content addressed in each question. Some cities may be at an advantage for certain questions; however, these same cities will be negatively impacted by other questions. For example, an older city like Cambridge, MA, has very narrow streets thus impacting sidewalk width and buffers, but it has a high connectivity index and land use mix.

COMMUNITY PROFILE

This section is intended to provide applicants with a chance to describe their communities. Having an understanding of the geographic, demographic, and economic makeup of the community can help explain the challenges and opportunities that the community faces when planning for walking.

1 Contact Information

Name of Community:	
Mayor or top official (include title):	
Mayor's Phone:	
Community Contact Name:	
Position/Employer:	
Contact Address:	
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Phone/Fax:	
Email:	
Website:	

2 Pedestrian Coordinator & Government Staff

List your official pedestrian coordinator or pedestrian issues contact person on government staff, and identify his/her department.

Contact Person:	
Contact Person Department:	

How many hours are spent per year in this capacity?	
Is this person also the bicycle coordinator?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

List all other government staff or contractors whose primary duties are devoted to walkability and pedestrian safety issues:
--

Do you have a Pedestrian Advisory Committee, Ped/Bike Council or other venue for citizen input?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, please provide the name of the Chair and their contact information:	
Do you have an independent pedestrian advocacy organization?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, please provide the name and contact information:	
Has your Mayor signed the International Charter for Walking ¹ or a similar pledge to improve the conditions for walking in your community?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If so, please provide details:	

3 Community Profile

Population:*	
Area of municipality:	square miles
Population Density:	
Park land:	square miles

Age Distribution*

% under 20:	%
% age 20-64:	%
% age 65-84:	%
% over 85:	%

*Use [U.S. Census data](#)² to find demographic and socioeconomic information

STATUS OF WALKING

This assessment tool seeks to learn how *much* people are walking and how *safe* they are when they are doing so. Therefore, the outcomes that are most significant for the purposes of this tool are the numbers of walkers and the number of pedestrian crashes. Walk Friendly Communities is looking for communities that have created environments in which many people walk *and* pedestrian crash rates are low, or those communities that are making significant progress towards those ends. These two questions focus on these specific outcomes, while other questions in this survey address what measures are used by communities to facilitate walking and improve safety.

1 According to the 2000 Census, what percentage of residents used the following modes for their commute to work?

	2000
Walking	%
Bicycling	%
Public transit	%
Single-occupant vehicles	%
Carpool	%

Please also provide the latest walking percentage of commuting to work from the 3-year estimates of the American Community Survey.

	2006-2008	2008-2010
Walking	%	%
Public transit	%	%

If your community conducts its own travel counts, please include a link, attachment, or description of those count results:

Web Link:
Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):
Count Results Description:

Rationale:

Census journey to work data and National Household Travel Survey (NHTS) data can help communities determine how people in their region are traveling. Census data can help provide information about the prevalence of walking. This data, as well as locally collected walking counts and NHTS data can be used in conjunction with crash data to provide justification for pedestrian safety improvements.

Resources:

[Census and American Community Survey data](#)³ or [specific journey to work data](#).⁴

Other useful travel data comes from the [National Household Travel Survey](#)⁵ and the [National Survey of Pedestrian and Bicyclist Attitudes and Behaviors](#).⁶

WFC Example:

See how San Francisco’s [WalkFirst project](#) (PDF)⁷ used Census data to understand pedestrian activity factors and locations in the City.

2 How many pedestrian/motor vehicle crashes were reported in each of the last five years; and how many of these crashes resulted in injuries and fatalities?

	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Number of Pedestrian Motor Vehicle Crashes					
Number of Pedestrian Injuries					
Number of Pedestrian Fatalities					

Rationale:

Knowing how many pedestrians were reported is essential when planning for pedestrian safety. Understanding common accident types and locations can help communities determine the best countermeasures for improving the safety of pedestrians. However, since the number of fatalities alone can often be quite low, especially for small towns, agencies should also have a way of counting and tracking pedestrian-motor vehicle crashes that do not result in fatalities.

Resources:

See more information on [finding pedestrian data and statistics](#)⁸ from PBIC.

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration hosts the [Fatality Analysis Reporting System](#),⁹ a database of fatal motor vehicle crashes where users can find specific information about crashes, including those involving pedestrians.

3 Long-Term Trends

What long-term trends in walking volumes and pedestrian/motor vehicle crashes has your community observed?

Resources:

See the 15-year status [report](#) (PDF)¹⁰ from PBIC for trends and changes in bicycling and walking since the 1994 National Bicycling and Walking Study.

PLANNING

Pedestrian issues are addressed at many different levels of planning, ranging from neighborhood plans to city, county, state, and federal policies and plans. A comprehensive pedestrian plan should address all five Es (education, encouragement, enforcement, engineering and evaluation) along with public involvement. With thorough planning, a community can become proactive rather than reactive in addressing issues of pedestrian accessibility, safety, and aesthetics. Planning involves soliciting public input, collecting information about current and future conditions, and considering what policies, plans, programs and resources a municipality will require to meet your community's needs.

1 Pedestrian Plan

Has your community adopted a pedestrian plan or pedestrian safety action plan?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please provide a link or attachment of the plan. Link to action plan: Attachment (RTF, PDF, Word doc only):	
If yes, what year was the plan adopted?	
How does this plan interact with or relate to your other planning documents?	
What performance indicators or other techniques does your community use to monitor completion?	
Does your community's pedestrian plan or other adopted plan or policy establish a target mode share for walking?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, what is the target walking share?	%
Does the plan have a safety goal (such as the reduction in pedestrian crashes)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, what is the target crash reduction?	%
Does the plan include any other benchmarks or performance indicators?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If so, please describe:	
What elements of the plan are complete? (Indicate what percent of the plan is complete, if possible.)	

Rationale:

Communities can address pedestrian issues using a variety of plan types, such as comprehensive plans, capital improvement plans, or long-range transportation plans. Indeed, it is essential that pedestrian planning be included in all such plans. However, dedicated pedestrian plans indicate a community's commitment to pedestrian issues and may help assure that these issues are given sufficient attention in the planning process. Pedestrian plans can also focus attention on implementation, especially if the plan specifies responsibilities, creates accountability, and designates funding sources for projects and programs. In addition, having a documented pedestrian plan with specific priorities can help agencies plan to use limited resources, such as staff time and money, more efficiently.

By creating target mode shares, communities have specific goals and benchmarks by which they can measure their progress. Including (and making progress towards achieving) a goal to increase walking as a form of transportation indicates a community's commitment to supporting pedestrian issues and its ability to do so.

Resources:

High quality pedestrian plans will draw on public participation, comprehensive baseline data, safety concerns, and anticipated demand to prioritize projects and improvements. Plans should also include a community-driven vision and SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Relevant, and Time-bound) goals.

See more information on specific pedestrian [planning activities](#).¹¹ See [sample pedestrian plans](#)¹² and [more about the cost of developing a plan](#).¹³ An international scan team gathered information on European bicycle and pedestrian safety to provide [ten recommendations](#) (PDF)¹⁴ for effective approaches in the U.S. For guidelines on creating a safety-focused pedestrian plan, see [How to Develop a Pedestrian Safety Action Plan](#) (PDF).¹⁵ To learn more about what policies can promote non-motorized transportation, refer to this [guide](#).¹⁶

New York City used crash data to address pedestrian safety issues for a specific demographic group in the creation of the [Safe Streets for Seniors](#)¹⁷ plan. Florida's [Guide for the Review and Assessment of Local Mobility Plans](#)¹⁸ provides goals and criteria for evaluating plans.

WFC Examples:

One of the main reasons Platinum-level **Seattle, WA's**, [Pedestrian Master Plan](#)¹⁹ is such a successful document is the clear establishment of goals and measurable performance indicators. With the objective of becoming the country's most walkable city, Seattle established baseline measurements, performance targets, and data collection processes to improve walkability.

For more performance indicators, Gold-level **San Francisco, CA's**, [Healthy Development Measurement Tool](#)²⁰ has a list of targets and strategies for achieving those targets.

Adopted in January 2012, Bronze-level **Forest Park, IL's**, [Active Transportation Plan](#) (PDF)²¹ outlines policies, programs, key places and implementation guidelines to reach ambitious targets for non-motorized mode shares and crash reduction. This plan is well-integrated with other plans in the community and integrated public input, with implementation monitored by a Pedestrian Task Force.

2 Pedestrian Considerations

How are pedestrian issues captured in other plans (e.g. the comprehensive plan, Transportation Improvement Program, etc.)?

Rationale:

Integrating pedestrian considerations into all planning documents allows city staff across multiple agencies to comprehensively plan streets that safely accommodate walking.

WFC Examples:

In Gold-level **Corvallis, OR**, a Pedestrian Article (Article 11.6) was included in the [Transportation Element](#) (PDF)²² of City's Comprehensive Plan. Additionally, pedestrian issues area also addressed in the Downtown Parking Plan, the University Master Plan, and several area plans.

Gold-level **Eugene, OR**, made sure that other city planning efforts informed the development of goals, policies, and projects in the City's 2012 [Pedestrian and Bicycle Master Plan](#) (PDF).²³ The PBMP will also serve for the basis of the City's Transportation System Plan.

In Bronze-level **Charlotte, NC**, despite the absence of a formal Pedestrian Plan, many pedestrian policy items have been handled with the adoption of the Transportation Action Plan, the Sidewalk Retrofit Policy, the Urban Street Design Guidelines, and Subdivision Ordinance.

3 ADA Transition Plan

Has your community adopted an ADA Transition Plan for the public right of way?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If so, please provide a link or attachment of the plan: Link to ADA Transition plan: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):	

If yes:

What year was it adopted?	
Has the ADA Transition Plan been updated?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, what year?	
Does the ADA Transition Plan address curb ramps and sidewalks?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Explain:	
Does the ADA Transition Plan address street crossings and signals?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Explain:	
Who is responsible for the implementation of ADA Transition Plan?	

Is your transition plan being implemented?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Explain:	
How is the ADA Transition Plan work funded?	
There are state roads in most communities. Has your state DOT adopted an ADA Transition Plan?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Is the state DOT transition plan being implemented?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Explain:	

Rationale:

The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 requires public agencies with more than 50 employees to develop and implement an ADA transition plan. The purpose of a transition plan is to make the agency's facilities and programs universally accessible. The improvements identified in agency transition plans should have been completed by January, 1995, and the plans should be regularly updated so that communities continue to ensure the accessibility of publicly maintained facilities.

Communities that are truly dedicated to creating safe, walkable communities will plan comprehensively for all types of pedestrians. The status of a municipality's transition plan and the means by which it is funded can indicate how a community prioritizes universal accessibility.

Resources:

See [A Checklist for Accessible Sidewalks and Street Crossings](#) (PDF)²⁴ for a summary of ADA guidelines for curb ramps, sidewalks, and other pedestrian features or the full [United States Access Board guidelines on public rights-of-way](#).²⁵ You can also refer to the Federal Highway Administration's [frequently asked questions about ADA requirements](#).²⁶

The Department of Justice guidance [ADA Best Practices Tool Kit for State and Local Governments](#)²⁷ provides technical assistance to with ADA compliance.

For guidance on designing facilities for accessibility, see the U.S. Access Board's guide for [trails and other outdoor developed areas](#),²⁸ the [Draft Guidelines for Accessible Public Rights-of-way](#),²⁹ or a special report from the Public Rights of Way Access Advisory Committee called [Accessible Public Rights of Way: Planning and Designing for Alterations](#) (PDF).³⁰

WFC Examples:

For an example of an ADA Transition plan and compliance evaluation, see this [report](#)³¹ from Gold-level **Santa Barbara, CA**.

Every fiscal year, **Eugene, OR**, sets aside funds for retrofitting existing curb ramps and sidewalks. Audible and visual crossing signals are also a priority in each phase of the Plan. Currently, 66 percent of all intersections have curb ramps at all four corners. The City continually gets feedback from working groups like the Human Rights Commission, in order to address public opinion and prioritize new areas.

4 Complete Streets

Has your community adopted a Complete Streets policy or ordinance?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, please provide a link or attachment of the document.	
Link to document:	
Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):	

Who is responsible for the implementation of the Complete Streets Ordinance?	
How is Complete Streets work funded and implemented? (i.e., is it routinely funded as part of the project, funded with other set-aside funds, etc.?)	
What challenges or barriers does your community face in implementing the Complete Streets policy?	
Identify three recent examples of how your Complete Streets policy was implemented (particularly at roadway widening projects):	
Project #1	
Project #2	
Project #3	

Rationale:

Complete Streets are designed and operate to enable safe and convenient access for all users. Pedestrians, bicyclists, motorists, and transit riders of all ages and abilities are able to safely move along and across a complete street. Complete Streets policies indicate a municipality’s commitment to planning for all modes, all ages, and all abilities. By adopting an official Complete Streets policy, some communities have been able to leverage more funding for pedestrian infrastructure and improvements from transportation budgets.

Resources:

For more information on the Complete Streets movement, see the [National Complete Streets Coalition](#),³² as well as information on [Complete Streets Laws and Ordinances](#)³³ or [the FHWA guide](#)³⁴ to street design.

WFC Examples:

See the [Seattle Complete Streets Ordinance](#)³⁵ for a model ordinance. The [complete streets policy](#) (PDF)³⁶ in Silver-level **Alexandria, VA**, is exemplary, particularly due to its performance indicators.

San Francisco's [Better Streets Plan](#)³⁷ is a set of implementation strategies and goals to provide and maintain a better streetscape and pedestrian environment. The Plan “seeks to balance the needs of all street users, with a particular focus on the pedestrian environment and how streets can be used as public space.”

Charlotte, NC's, [Urban Street Design Guidelines](#)³⁸ seek to implement elements of Complete Streets throughout the city. These guidelines provide mobility for motorists, while also ensuring the comfort and safety for pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit users.

The [Circulation Element](#) (PDF)³⁹ of Gold-level **Santa Barbara, CA's**, General Plan is a complete streets document. The Element lists four goals and three of them highlight this philosophy: Strive to Achieve Equality of Choice among Modes; Increase the Availability and Use of Transit; and Increase Bicycling as a Transportation Mode.

5 Public Input

Please briefly describe how public input is included and used in municipal plan development.
Please briefly describe the role that citizen participation, advisory board review, and/or the municipality's pedestrian/bicycle advisory council play in the process of reviewing ongoing projects and new development.
Please briefly describe how you assure that specific populations (like individuals with disabilities or low income groups) are included in the public input process.
Please briefly describe how your community works with coalitions, advocates, and other departments and agencies to ensure that pedestrians are considered in all projects and documents.
Provide any relevant links or attachments that indicate the formal and informal public participation and advocacy efforts in your community (i.e., a link to the pedestrian and bicycle advisory board website, if it exists, or documented guidelines for public participation in the planning process). Website Link: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):

Rationale:

Citizen participation is a critical component of any local government and public input should be included in the planning and decision making processes. Including pedestrian stakeholders in the planning review process can help secure citizen support for projects and can help a municipality identify safety concerns that it may not have been aware of. Techniques to assure that individuals with disabilities are included in the public input process include providing announcements to agencies serving individuals with disabilities, holding meetings in accessible facilities, providing interpreters if requested, ensuring that web sites are accessible to people using screen reading or screen enlargement software, and providing Braille or large print documents on request. Public participation is integral to the success of transportation planning and should be considered at every stage of the planning process, from collecting baseline data to conducting post-implementation evaluation.

Resources:

Read about [facilitating public participation](#)⁴⁰ and the importance of [pedestrian advisory councils](#).⁴¹ The Pedestrian and Bicycle Information Center's [FAQ](#)⁴² provides further information and resources for collecting public input.

[WALK Boston](#)⁴³ is a great model to follow for successful public outreach.

WFC Examples:

Gold-level **Eugene, OR**, developed [Public Participation Guidelines](#) (PDF),⁴⁴ as part of the Diversity and Equity Strategic Plan, to better enable the public to identify priorities and solutions, and to allow the City to better understand community concerns.

A City Resolution in **Seattle** called for the formation of a [Pedestrian Master Plan Advisory Group](#)⁴⁵ that would reflect the diversity of Seattle residents. Along with City staff, the Group helped advise the development of the Pedestrian Master Plan and conduct public outreach.

Bronze-level **Davidson, NC**, includes a section about the [Development Proposal Review Process](#) (PDF)⁴⁶ in the Town's Planning Ordinance.

6 Sidewalks

Does the city have a policy requiring sidewalks on both sides of arterial streets?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
On both sides of collector streets?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Policy link:	
Sidewalk funding and installation: (if applicable, please provide a link or attachment of the relevant ordinance or policy)	
Sidewalk funds link:	
Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):	
Does the city require sidewalks to be constructed or upgraded with all (or the vast majority of) new private development?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Explain:	

Rationale:

The presence of sidewalks in a community is associated with higher levels of walking and physical activity.^{47,48,49,50,51} Requiring developers to build sidewalks in conjunction with new construction is an effective and efficient way to create a comprehensive sidewalk network. A stringently enforced sidewalk construction policy can help municipalities fill in gaps in their sidewalk system and prevent gaps from occurring in the future. Constructing sidewalks along with other development can also be less expensive than retrofitting the right-of-way.

WFC Examples:

Bronze-level **Cary, NC**, maintains an [annual sidewalk project priority list](#)⁵² that considers a number of factors including safety, use, need, and constructability. This list is easily accessible on the web. There is a [petition process](#) for residents that would like their area to be on the project list.⁵³

Gold-level **Ann Arbor, MI**, has an ambitious [sidewalk and ramp repair program](#)⁵⁴ that will repair all existing sidewalks over the next five years, while continuing to bring sidewalk ramps into ADA compliance.

7 Connectivity

Has your community established a connectivity policy, pedestrian-friendly block length standards and connectivity standards for new developments, or convenient pedestrian access requirements?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, please provide a link or attachment of the policy or ordinance. Link to document: Attachment (RTF, PDF, Word doc only):	
If yes, please provide information on the coverage area of this policy (e.g. downtown, certain districts, entire city):	
If applicable, please describe an example a project that restored or improved the street grid.	

Rationale:

Street connectivity is associated with higher levels of physical activity.^{55,56,57,58,59,60,61} Grid networks and short block lengths (less than 800 feet) help make cities more walkable by creating multiple direct routes that can decrease walking distance compared to longer blocks or curvilinear street systems.⁶² In addition, higher numbers of intersections reduce unmarked mid-block crossings and create street crossings that are typically shorter than those on arterial streets, thus providing more areas for pedestrians to cross the street safely.^{63,64} Communities may increase pedestrian connectivity by creating easements and paths connecting cul-de-sacs or across blocks longer than 800-1000 feet.

Resources:

Connectivity can be measured many different ways. These include block length, block size, intersection density, street density, the Connected Node Ratio (a measure that factors in the number of cul-de-sacs an area has), and more. See [Measuring Network Connectivity for Bicycling and Walking](#) (PDF) by Jennifer Dill (2004). The [Ped Shed blog](#)⁶⁵ has assembled a great resource on research supporting the connection between walkability and connectivity. The [Victoria Transport Policy Institute](#)⁶⁶ has more information on creating roadway and pathway connectivity.

WFC Examples:

The entire [Land Development Code](#)⁶⁷ for Gold-level **Corvallis, OR**, serves as a model for any community, especially the [Pedestrian Oriented Design Standards](#) (PDF).⁶⁸ The Standards ensure connectivity while promoting pedestrian-oriented buildings, amenities, and landscaping that help create a more appealing walking environment.

Charlotte, NC, includes a [connectivity ratio objective](#) (PDF)⁶⁹ (Objective 2.9) in the City's Transportation Action Plan.

8 Trails

Do you have a trails plan?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
How many miles of trails (paved/hard surface/natural) currently exist in your community?	miles
How many miles of trails are included in your current planning documents?	miles
Please describe destinations (schools, shopping offices, etc.) that are accessible by the trail in your community:	
Please provide a link or attachment of relevant plan, if available. Link to document: Attachment (RTF, PDF, Word doc only):	
Is it routine policy to build trails and paths with all new and major re-developments?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Is it required through zoning regulations?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Are incentives provided to encourage trail construction?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If so, please provide a link or attachment of the policy or ordinance. Link to trail incentive: Attachment (RTF, PDF, Word doc only):	

Rationale:

High quality trail networks (including rail trails and greenways) form the facility network backbone of many walkable communities. Not only do they help complete non-motorized transportation networks, they also attract recreational walkers. Recreational trips make up approximately one-fifth of all walking trips in the United States. Well-designed trails can support economic development and tourism, encourage physical activity, and even raise property values. Access to trails is associated with [higher levels of physical activity](#),⁷⁰ particularly for [low-income populations](#).⁷¹ Constructing trails and paths near waterways or along utility corridors is a great way to use land that is unsuitable for development to create pedestrian facilities.

Resources:

The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' [Guide for the Planning, Design, and Operation of Pedestrian Facilities](#)⁷² and the Federal Highway Administration's [Designing](#)

[Sidewalks and Trails for Access, Part II of II: Best Practices Design Guide](#)⁷³ provide guidance on planning and designing trails.

PBIC has compiled a [trails](#)⁷⁴ webpage on the benefits of trails, trail design, and types of trails. Also see the Rails-to-Trails Conservancy report [Active Transportation for America: A Case for Increased Federal Investment in Bicycling and Walking](#)⁷⁵ to learn about the importance of federal funding for pedestrian infrastructure like trails.

See [Rails-to-Trails Conservancy](#)⁷⁶ and [American Trails](#)⁷⁷ for information and resources on trails.

WFC Examples:

Bronze-level **Flagstaff, AZ**, combines transportation, recreation, and access to nature on the extensive and popular [Flagstaff Urban Trail System](#)⁷⁸ (FUTS, pronounced “foots”). The citywide network of more than 50 miles of non-motorized, shared-use pathways is supported by the City with dedicated promotion and publicity.

The [Midtown Greenway](#)⁷⁹ in Gold-level **Minneapolis, MN**, is a 5.7 mile-long former railroad corridor with bicycling and walking trails that goes through densely populated neighborhoods just south of downtown.

Cary, NC, has an extensive 60-mile trail network. The Bronze-level Town has recently completed three major trail projects which connect different commercial areas, schools, multiple existing and planned parks, and many residential areas.

9 Public Transportation

Is your community served by public transportation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If so, please list the agencies and whether they are city, regional, or both.	

Please provide the following performance indicators and details to indicate how well your community is served by public transportation.

Percent of population living within a quarter mile of a bus stop or ½ mile of a rail station:	%
---	---

Hours of operation for transit service:

Weekday (range of hours):		Saturday (range of hours):		Sunday (range of hours):	
------------------------------	--	-------------------------------	--	-----------------------------	--

Average off-peak headway on bus routes:	minutes
Average peak period bus headway:	minutes
Average off-peak headway on train routes:	minutes
Average peak period headway on train routes:	minutes
Percent of bus stops that are wheelchair accessible:	%
What route planning and/or trip information is provided for transit passengers (e.g. real-time arrival information, online trip planning, etc.)?	
Please describe your transit stop improvement process. Include information on bus stop location guidance and the use of safety and accessibility audits, crash data, and boarding/alighting data to plan system changes and improvements.	

Rationale:

Every transit trip includes walking at some point. In fact, transit riders are [more likely to walk for 30 minutes or more daily than non-transit riders](#)⁸⁰ and [transit-oriented areas may encourage walking](#).⁸¹ Therefore, it is important to consider public transportation when planning for pedestrians and vice versa. Cities that are well served by transit can reduce automobile dependency and increase both walking (the number and frequency of pedestrian trips) and walkability (the human-scale land use and design elements that attract pedestrians). [Modifying the number of destinations, public transit points, and access to bike lanes](#)⁸² may increase transit use.

Resources:

See PBIC’s page on [improving access to transit](#).⁸³ [A Spatial Analysis of Pedestrian Preference](#) (PDF)⁸⁴ from Mineta Transportation Institute (2007) describes factors affecting pedestrian route choices to transit. The Federal Highway Administration’s 2008 [Pedestrian Safety Guide for Transit Agencies](#) (PDF)⁸⁵ provides approaches for identifying and improving pedestrian safety and access issues. See how [New Jersey](#)⁸⁶ and [New York City](#)⁸⁷ are improving walking and bicycling conditions for transit users. This [case study](#)⁸⁸ describes how Cleveland, OH, prioritized bus shelter improvements.

WFC Examples:

Arlington County, VA, has 11 MetroRail stops and its [WalkArlington](#)⁸⁹ program, along with [Arlington County Commuter Services](#),⁹⁰ promote the many options that residents have for getting around the community.

The [transit connection](#)⁹¹ in Gold-level **Eugene, OR**, is exceptional for a city of 156,000.

10 Parking

Which of the following approaches does your community use when planning for parking?
Please provide a link or attachment of relevant ordinance or policy and describe when and where these strategies are used.

Maximum parking standards or absence of minimum parking standards	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Link to standard: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):	
Description of standards (including when and where these are used):	
Parking location requirements (i.e., parking below, beside, or behind a building; allowing on-street parking to meet minimum parking requirements)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Link to location requirements: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):	
Description of requirements (including when and where these are used):	

Surface lot size and design requirements, including pedestrian and vehicle separation, locating lots to the side or behind businesses, alternative use of parking lot, landscaping, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Link to size/design requirements: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):	
Description of requirements (including when and where these are used):	
Shared parking allowances Definition: Shared parking lots can reduce the total number of parking spaces needed in a particular area by coordinating peak parking demand times between different buildings and different uses. For instance, an office building might be able to share a parking lot with a restaurant that operates only in the evenings, as the former would use the lot during the day and the latter would use it at night	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Link to allowances: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):	
Description of allowances (including when and where these are used):	

Parking cashout incentives Definition: Parking cashout is a financial incentive in which employees who do not drive and park at work receive a subsidy that approximates the cost that employers bear to provide free parking to employees.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Link to incentives: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):	
Description of incentives (including when and where these are used):	
Remote parking and/or park and ride	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Link to remote parking: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):	
Description of remote parking (including when and where these are used):	
Priced public parking	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Link to prices: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):	
Description of priced parking (including when and where these are used):	
Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Link to other approach: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):	
Description of other approach (including when and where these are used):	

Rationale:

The design, price, and amount of parking in a community affect an area’s walkability. Surface parking lots reduce density, create conflict points between pedestrians and vehicles at driveways, and are visually unappealing. There are indications that minimum parking requirements result in surplus parking, increased automobile use, and decreased density.⁹² In addition, a [driver’s use of parking tends to be quite price-sensitive](#),⁹³ indicating that an abundance of free parking may encourage automobile use and, consequently, discourage alternate modes like transit and walking. Careful attention to the [quality of parking provided, rather than the quantity](#),⁹⁴ can help create walk-friendly environments.

Resources:

Check out [People, Parking, and Cities](#) (PDF)⁹⁵ by scholars at UCLA to read about why density increases should be accompanied by changes in parking policy.

See the Environmental Protection Agency’s [Parking Spaces/Community Places: Finding the Balance through Smart Growth Solutions](#) (PDF)⁹⁶ report for innovative parking solutions.

Todd Litman has developed a number of helpful resources and articles. His article, [Parking Management: Strategies, Evaluation, and Planning](#) (PDF)⁹⁷ gives an excellent overview of parking strategies, policies, and costs.

WFC Examples:

Silver-level **Santa Monica, CA**, has a [Transportation Management](#)⁹⁸ program that requires some employers to offer a parking cashout program.

[“Surrender Your Permit”](#)⁹⁹ incentives in Gold-level **Hoboken, NJ**, encourage car-free living. Residents who turn in their parking permits to the Hoboken Parking Utility can receive up to \$500 in rewards.

The **San Francisco** Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) published a 2007 report on [parking best practices](#).¹⁰⁰ The City has also implemented a pilot program, [SFPark](#),¹⁰¹ that prices public parking based on demand,

Silver-level **Alexandria, VA**, has a helpful [fact sheet](#) (PDF)¹⁰² on shared parking.

11 Infill/Density

Approximately what percentage of development in the last five years has been infill?	%
How many LEED-ND ¹⁰³ projects have been developed (or are pre-qualified) in your community (just LEED for Neighborhood Development not all LEED designations)?	

What measures does your community use to encourage dense, mixed-use development? Check all that apply.

<p>Secondary or accessory dwelling units are permitted Definition: These units are self-contained apartments on an owner occupied single-family lots.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Link to measure: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):</p>	
<p>Description of measure (including where it is permitted):</p>	
<p>Retail/commercial uses are required on the ground floor of residential buildings in mixed use corridors or districts</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Link to measure: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):</p>	
<p>Description of measure (including where it is permitted):</p>	
<p>Density bonuses to developers are provided for providing amenities that enhance walkability and livability Definition: Density bonuses are used by local governments to allow a developer to build at a higher density than zoning permits in exchange for providing affordable residences or walk-friendly amenities.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Link to measure: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):</p>	
<p>Description of measure (including where it is permitted):</p>	
<p>Form-based or design-based codes are used Definition: These codes are an alternative to conventional zoning that can be used to ensure a walk friendly environment by regulating the form, scale and massing of buildings rather than the use. They are typically presented with both diagrams and words.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Link to measure: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):</p>	
<p>Description of measure (including where it is permitted):</p>	
<p>Neighborhood school siting policies</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Link to measure: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):</p>	
<p>Description of measure (including where it is permitted):</p>	

What other incentives are provided for infill developments? Please describe:

Please describe the planning efforts in your community to preserve and strengthen your urban structure. Examples could include downtown or historic area revitalization efforts or infill and intensification efforts in centers, nodes, districts, and along corridors.

Rationale:

Dense development is associated with [higher levels of walking and transit use and reduced automobile dependency](#) (PDF).¹⁰⁴ The [positive relationship between density and walking](#)¹⁰⁵ might be even more significant in less urbanized areas. Compact, mixed-use development is fundamental to making communities walkable because more origins and destinations will be within walking distance of one another.^{106,107} Proximity to schools and retail, commercial, and municipal uses can encourage walking. Additionally, large numbers of pedestrians tend to attract more walkers because they indicate the vitality of an area and can create a secure walking environment with more eyes on the street. High densities, walking, and transit use reinforce one another: higher residential and employment densities mean that more riders will live or work within a quarter mile of a transit stop; high ridership levels can improve transit service; and transit riders typically start their trip on foot, so high ridership levels likely indicate high pedestrian levels.

Resources:

[Creating Great Neighborhoods: Density in Your Community](#) (PDF),¹⁰⁸ a report by the Local Governments Association and the EPA, describes the many benefits of density.

The EPA's 2003 [Travel and Environmental Implications of School Siting](#) (PDF)¹⁰⁹ report describes the effects of school siting policies. See also [EPA School Siting Guidelines](#).¹¹⁰

["Growing Cooler: The Evidence on Urban Development and Climate Change"](#)¹¹¹ by Reid Ewing and others (2007) reviews the relationship between urban development and climate change, and recommends high-density, mixed use urban development as a strategy for mitigating the effects of climate change.

Vancouver, British Columbia, recently adopted an [EcoDensity Charter](#)¹¹² which explains how density can support sustainable, livable communities.

WFC Examples:

The [Master Transportation Plan](#) (PDF)¹¹³ for **Arlington County, VA**, acknowledges the relationship between transportation and land use and seeks to focus high-density development around rail stations and corridors with extensive transit service.

Silver-level **Charlottesville, VA**, has an [accessory building ordinance](#).¹¹⁴

[Main Streets programs](#)¹¹⁵ promote preservation-based commercial district revitalization. For example, **Chicago's Six Corners**¹¹⁶ and the [Town of Davidson, NC](#),¹¹⁷ have Main Streets designated programs.

12 Design

In the following fields, please select and briefly describe any urban design features or pedestrian amenities that your community uses or requires to create a comfortable and attractive walking environment.

<input type="checkbox"/> Lighting:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Trees and plantings:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Street furniture:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Community identifiers (e.g. gateways, banners, public art):	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other features and amenities (e.g. façade design requirements, public restrooms, pavement design):	
Please provide a link or attachment of the ordinance or policy that addresses these features. Link: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):	

Rationale:

While having pedestrian infrastructure in place is essential in making places safe for walking, pedestrian amenities and urban design elements are also important for making walking comfortable and enjoyable. A variety of elements can help create a walk friendly environment;

though they may not amount to much in isolation, the combination of pedestrian friendly urban design features may increase walking in a particular area. In Bogotá, Colombia, the combination of wider and higher quality sidewalks, along with amenities such as benches and garbage cans, was found to be positively and significantly related to the observed pedestrian count.¹¹⁸ The aesthetics of pedestrian amenities are positively correlated to walking, [especially for recreational walking](#) (PDF).¹¹⁹

Resources:

The idea that design features and pedestrian amenities can affect the walking experience, while quite intuitive, is difficult to show empirically. [Ewing, Clemente, and Handy \(2005\)](#)¹²⁰ create a framework for measuring the effect of urban design features on walkability.

The American Institute of Architects' document, [Livability 101](#) (PDF),¹²¹ describes the features that enhance pedestrian environments and, consequently, make communities more livable. Likewise, the National Center for Bicycling and Walking's guide, [Increasing Physical Activity through Community Design](#), (PDF)¹²² provides suggestions for creating places for people to walk and bike.

WFC Examples:

Check out **Seattle's** online [Right-of-Way Improvement Manual](#).¹²³ The Manual summarizes the Land Use Code requirements for street and alley improvements and presents the specific criteria for design and installation.

San Francisco's "[Pavement to Parks](#)¹²⁴" program reclaims underutilized pavement to become public space. Residents and businesses can apply for a permit to turn the space in front of their property into a "parklet," which is often the length of one or two parking spaces and includes street furniture. Plaza projects are initiated by the City, which closes off certain intersections or parts of intersections to create a public space.

Gold-level **Chicago, IL's** [Streetscape Design Guidelines](#) (PDF)¹²⁵ address issues of safety and accessibility on City streets, assist in making informed decisions about the design of City streets, and encourage the commercial areas to be places for working, living, and social activities.

WFCs including [Seattle, WA](#),¹²⁶ [Eugene, OR](#),¹²⁷ and [Austin, TX](#),¹²⁸ have mechanisms for including public art in transportation projects.

13 Other Planning Policies

Please briefly describe any other planning policies related to promoting or enhancing walking in your community.

EDUCATION & ENCOURAGEMENT

Education and encouragement are primary components in creating a successful walk friendly community. This section seeks information about the programs, policies and strategies your community uses to inform, inspire, motivate, or reward walkers and other users of the public right-of-way. It also asks the question, “Do your efforts result in a safe walking environment?” Effective pedestrian safety education begins at an early age, is age-specific, and continues through the years across all modes. Motorists who are educated about pedestrian safety contribute to a safer, more pleasant walking environment for pedestrians; this environment enables and encourages more people to walk.

Encouragement programs can be fun and inclusive in seeking to establish good habits or change unhealthy or unsafe habits. The education and encouragement strategies listed below are common to many walkable communities. If your community uses other strategies to educate the public and encourage walking, please describe them as well.

1 Safe Routes to School

Please describe any Safe Routes to School (SRTS) programming being implemented in your community in the space provided below.

Check any of the following activities that are part of your SRTS programs and include information about the nature, scope, and results of these activities (as well as any others not listed below) in your description.

<p>Walk to School Day/Week Definition: Walk to School Day is an international event that takes place annually in October. Schools from all over the country plan special activities to encourage students to walk to school. This special event can be a great way to start a Safe Routes to School program.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>Description:</p>	
<p>Walking Wednesdays or other walking events Definition: Some schools and communities promote walking to school by having regular Walking Wednesday events in which parents, teachers, and students may meet up near the school campus and walk to school together.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>Description:</p>	

Walkability audits or SRTS maps Definition: By auditing and assessing walking routes and creating maps indicating the safest routes to school, communities can help educate students and families about the best routes to take	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Description:	

Walking School Bus Definition: From National Center for Safe Routes to School : ¹²⁹ A group of children that walk or bicycle to school together accompanied by one or more adults.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Description:	

Student safety patrol Definition: From National Center for Safe Routes to School: Student safety patrols enhance enforcement of drop-off and pick-up procedures at school by increasing safety for students and traffic flow efficiency for parents. Such efforts allow students to participate in promoting traffic safety where they learn skills they can use in their everyday lives.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Description:	

Tracking system to count the number of children walking to school	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Description:	

Other (please describe):	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Description:	

Please estimate the number of schools in your communities that participate in the following:

Ongoing SRTS program:	
Special walk to school events only:	
No walk to school or SRTS activities:	
Total number of elementary and middle schools in your community:	

Please provide the following information for the Safe Routes to School contact person in your community:

Contact Person and Title:	
---------------------------	--

Contact Person Department:	
How many hours are spent per year in this capacity?	

Please describe your most recent Safe Routes to School grant:

Rationale:

Federal transportation law includes a Safe Routes to School program. Program goals include more children walking and bicycling to school; encouragement of safe, healthy, active lifestyles; improved safety; reduced traffic, fuel consumption, and air pollution; and inclusion of children with disabilities in the program. The SRTS program exists because of policy concerns about fewer children are walking and bicycling to school today, rising rates of childhood obesity, the attendant long-term health risks, and the impact on the nation’s health care system. SRTS programs typically employ a multi-faceted approach to improving walking and bicycling to school that include education and encouragement activities like those listed above.

Resources:

The [National Center for Safe Routes to School](#)¹³⁰ offers a clearinghouse of SRTS information. The Center provides resources for specific SRTS activities, such as [Walk to School Day](#),¹³¹ [Walking School Bus](#) (PDF)¹³² programs, [Walkability Checklists](#) (PDF)¹³³, [SRTS maps](#),¹³⁴ and [student travel tally sheets](#) (PDF)¹³⁵ for tracking the number of students walking to school. The Center also provides [case studies](#)¹³⁶ on SRTS programs.

The [SRTS National Partnership](#)¹³⁷ has resources for individuals, schools, and advocacy groups to help build support for and capacity of SRTS programs.

In addition, each state department of transportation has a full-time SRTS coordinator who is available to provide information and funding to local communities. Such information may be provided in the form of SRTS-specific pages on the state DOT’s Web site, a toolkit, educational sessions, and grant workshops.

WFC Examples:

Chicago’s [Safe Routes Ambassadors](#)¹³⁸ are a pedestrian and bicycles safety outreach team that teach thousands of kids about the benefits of walking and biking through classroom and

outdoor exercises and help implement Safe Routes to School activities. In 2009, Safe Routes Ambassadors began a teen safe driving campaign through driver education programs on the laws related to pedestrian safety.

Bronze-level **Austin, TX**, runs a SRTS program at 24 elementary and middle schools through its Public Works department. One unique element of Austin's SRTS program is that they educate motorists on how to drive safely around schools. Austin SRTS staff developed a training called "Driving Safely in School Zones" and conducted train-the-trainer sessions for Austin Police Department officers who have conducted the training with several SRTS schools.

2 Education and Training

Please describe any education and training programs related to pedestrian education, safety, or design for staff in your municipality. Please include in this description the nature, frequency, scope, number of attendees, source materials, and results of these programs.

Engineering:
Planning:
Law enforcement:
School staff:
Public officials:
Other (e.g. public health professionals):

Rationale:

Ongoing education for professional staff underscores the priority a community places upon the importance of walking, walkability, and pedestrian safety. By educating public officials communities can help ensure that ordinances and policies that support walking are actually implemented. Education and training activities offer an opportunity to refresh current practices

and learn new strategies. Such training can reduce or eliminate potential miscommunication between different professions such as judges and police officers.

Resources:

The Pedestrian and Bicycle Information Center offers [training courses](#)¹³⁹ on pedestrian safety, many of which are aimed at engineers, planners, traffic safety and enforcement professionals, public health and injury prevention professionals, and decision-makers.

WFC Examples:

San Francisco provides multiple training opportunities for staff and law enforcement on pedestrian safety. Principals, teachers, and school staff participate in an annual professional development day about the Safe Routes to School program and how to incorporate the program’s goals into education lessons. The staff at the Municipal Transportation Agency and Planning Department are provided a multitude of opportunities through trainings, webinars, and conferences to learn about pedestrian safety and apply the information to the City.

The **New Orleans** Regional Planning Commissions (NORPC) offers a three-day workshop for planners and engineers, [“Designing Streets for Pedestrians and Bicyclists,”](#)¹⁴⁰ in the Bronze-level city.

In Bronze-level **Lee's Summit, MO**, City staff and law enforcement have annual driver’s education training that includes pedestrian safety awareness. Law enforcement staff has additional training required for pedestrian and driver safety beyond the City's annual requirement of employment.

3 Campaigns

Please check and briefly describe any education or encouragement campaigns that are implemented in your community regarding the following topics. Include information about the target audience, techniques used (e.g., posters, workshops, etc.), frequency, scope, and results of the programs. Please mention what measures your community has taken to make sure that education and encouragement campaigns are inclusive of all populations. Also mention your community partnerships (such as Public Health & Planning partnerships) that collaborate on these efforts. Provide any relevant links and attachments to help illustrate these descriptions, if available.

Public service announcements to encourage safe walking and driving	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Link to relevant material:	
Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word Doc only):	
Description:	

Public health campaigns related to walking	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Link to relevant material: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word Doc only):	
Description:	

Walk to work events	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Link to relevant material: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word Doc only):	
Description:	
Other (please describe):	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Link to relevant material: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word Doc only):	
Description:	

Rationale:

Education and encouragement programs can communicate the benefits of walking, as well as the rights and responsibilities of pedestrians and motorists to school children, residents, and visitors. Walkable communities can be cultivated by educating all roadway users to interact safely. There are major differences in the walking abilities, behavioral patterns, and learning capacities of different groups of pedestrians and other road users. Because of this, educational programs succeed when tailored to specific audiences and to the behaviors they seek to modify. For example, children have different physical and psychological abilities than adult pedestrians. A younger or new driver may exhibit different behaviors and driving skills than an older driver. College-age pedestrians may respond to different educational outlets that might not be as effective in reaching other groups.

Resources:

PBIC has created a guide on [how to educate drivers and pedestrians](#).¹⁴¹

Communities are also beginning to use social marketing techniques to change behavior. [PedNet](#)¹⁴² has a guide to social marketing for pedestrian programs for people of all ages.

See the [National Highway Traffic Safety Administration \(NHTSA\) guide](#)¹⁴³ on educating children on safe street-crossing behaviors.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ran a social marketing campaign called [VERB](#)¹⁴⁴ that aimed to increase physical activity among preteens. Check out the American Heart

Association’s public health campaign, [Start Walking Now](#),¹⁴⁵ which aims to encourage walking as a form of physical activity.

WFC Examples:

[SmartTrips](#),¹⁴⁶ in **Eugene, OR**, uses innovative, personalized “travel toolkits” to reach residents.

[Santa Barbara Car Free](#) promotes the City as a walkable vacation destination.

[BikeWalkMove](#),¹⁴⁷ in **Minneapolis, MN**, has an ambassador program to reach underserved groups.

[The Car-Free Diet](#),¹⁴⁸ in **Arlington, VA**, which targets at commuters and “car-free skeptics.” [WalkArlington](#)¹⁴⁹ conducts an impressive amount of outreach and education about walking in the community and is involved in everything from Safe Routes to School to walking clubs for seniors to programs for employers.

In **Flagstaff, AZ**, [Flagstaff Walks](#)¹⁵⁰ is a weeklong event organized by the Pedestrian Advisory Committee and dedicated to celebrating Flagstaff's walkable nature and raising awareness of walkability issues. Activities include Safe Routes to School Workshops and Walkability Audits, guided walks, and promotional events, all culminating in International Walk to School Day.

4 Tours, Guides & Maps

Please check and briefly describe any walking tours, guides, or maps that are available (on-line or printed) in your community. If available, please provide a link, attachment, or pictures of wayfinding devices and/or plans, maps, or brochures for these walking tours.

Walking maps (e.g., neighborhoods maps, school route maps, city-wide maps, trails and greenways, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Link to relevant material: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word Doc only):	
Description:	
Wayfinding and route signs for pedestrians	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Link to relevant material: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word Doc only):	
Description:	

Rationale:

Communities that provide information about places to walk may enjoy higher rates of walking. Walking maps and tours may be especially useful to tourists, residents who are new to your community, or residents who do not yet walk frequently. Maps and tours can highlight important destinations and indicate which routes are best for pedestrians. They indicate a community's support for walking culture and are a good way for municipalities to encourage and facilitate walking for many different purposes, including recreational, utilitarian, and fitness walking trips.

Resources:

See Eat Smart, Move More's [guide to creating walking maps](#) (PDF).¹⁵¹

WFC Examples:

Silver-level **Philadelphia, PA's** [Walk!Philadelphia](#) (PDF)¹⁵² is North America's largest pedestrian sign system. The Walk!Philadelphia system places disk maps midblock on both sides of the street and directional signs of nearby destinations on each corner. There are over 200 disk maps and 400 directional signs covering a 400 square block area.

Wilsonville, OR, created a series of [six walking maps](#)¹⁵³ and a [bike/walk map](#)¹⁵⁴ to highlight local features.

See the variety of guided walking tours and walking maps in [San Francisco, CA](#),¹⁵⁵ [New Orleans, LA](#),¹⁵⁶ and [Seattle, WA](#).¹⁵⁷

5 Open Streets

Please briefly describe any ciclovia/Sunday Parkways/Open Streets or similar initiatives in your community that are specifically intended to encourage walking. Include information about the target audience, nature, frequency, scope, and results of these events. Provide any relevant links and attachments, if available.

Link to relevant material:

Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word Doc only):

Rationale:

Walking-focused initiatives offer opportunity, incentive, and support for individual behavioral change. Special events and ongoing activities, such as Open Streets, Sunday Parkways, or art

walks, can make walking exciting, fun, and social. This can create a critical mass of walkers that can attract even more walkers.

Resources:

Open Streets or Sunday Parkways programs involve closing the street to automobile traffic and creating a welcoming environment for all types of walkers and bicyclists. The [Open Streets Project](#)¹⁵⁸ is a great resource for information on running an Open Streets program.

WFC Examples:

The following WFCs have Open Streets or Sunday Parkways:

Open Streets programs with supporting events: [Chicago, IL](#)¹⁵⁹, [San Francisco, CA](#)¹⁶⁰, [Minneapolis, MN](#)¹⁶¹, [Seattle, WA](#)¹⁶², [Eugene, OR](#)¹⁶³, [Wilsonville, OR](#)¹⁶⁴

Sunday streets programs with street closures but no supporting events: [Philadelphia, PA](#)¹⁶⁵, [Hoboken, NJ](#)¹⁶⁶, [Ann Arbor, MI](#)¹⁶⁷

6 Other Education or Encouragement Programs

Please briefly describe any other education or encouragement programs affecting walking in your community.

ENGINEERING

Designing, engineering, operating, and maintaining quality roadways and pedestrian facilities is a critical element in producing a Walk Friendly Community. Designers and engineers have a diverse array of design elements and ever-developing technologies at their disposal that provide a safer, inviting, and more accessible street for pedestrians. These benefits aren't limited to pedestrians. By accommodating pedestrians in all roadway designs, roads become safer for all users. Therefore, it should be essential that pedestrian engineering and design tools are used throughout your community, including sidewalk accommodations and standards, crossings and intersections, traffic calming, trail design, and newer, innovative treatments.

1 Sidewalk Design

Which of the following standards, if any, are included in your municipality's sidewalk design specifications? Please provide a link or attachment of the municipality's sidewalk design standard specifications.

<input type="checkbox"/> Sidewalks at least 5' wide in residential areas, 10'-30' in commercial zones
<input type="checkbox"/> Required buffer zone between sidewalk and street
<input type="checkbox"/> Level and continuous sidewalks at driveways so that driveways do not look like roadways
Sidewalk design link: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):

Rationale:

Both FHWA and the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) recommend a minimum width of 5 ft. for a sidewalk or walkway, which allows two people to pass comfortably or to walk side-by-side. Wider sidewalks should be installed near schools, at transit stops, in downtown areas, or anywhere with high concentrations of pedestrians. Sidewalks should be continuous along both sides of a street, and sidewalks should be fully accessible to all pedestrians, including those in wheelchairs.

A buffer zone of 4 to 8 ft. should be provided to separate pedestrians from the street. Buffer zones also allow for the planting of trees which provide shade and comfort for the pedestrian realm. The buffer zone will vary according to the street type. In downtown or commercial districts, a street furniture zone is usually appropriate. Parked cars and/or bicycle lanes can provide an acceptable buffer zone. In suburban or rural areas, a landscape strip is generally most suitable. Careful planning of sidewalks and walkways is important in an area in order to provide adequate safety and mobility. The maximum cross-slope should be 2 percent to

prevent wheelchair tilting and other difficulties. Providing a level sidewalk across driveways tells motorists they are crossing a sidewalk and that the pedestrian has the right-of-way.

Resources:

Learn more about sidewalk planning and design with the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' [Guide for the Planning, Design, and Operation of Pedestrian Facilities](#)¹⁶⁸ or the Federal Highway Administration's [Designing Sidewalks and Trails for Access, Part II of II: Best Practices Design Guide](#).¹⁶⁹

WFC Example:

[Standard Drawing](#) (PDF)¹⁷⁰ of a sidewalk in Gold-level **Corvallis, OR**.

2 Sidewalk Mileage

Estimate the percent of arterial and non-arterial streets that have sidewalks on both sides of the road, one side of the road, or have paved shoulders (minimum of 4 ft.) in your community.

	Arterial	Non-Arterial
Sidewalks on both sides	%	%
Sidewalks on one side	%	%
Paved shoulders ≥ 4'	%	%

Please enter the following information about your road network:

What is the mileage of your total road network?	miles
How many miles of sidewalks are in your pedestrian master plan?	miles
How many miles of new sidewalk did you construct last year?	miles
How many miles of sidewalk did you construct in the last three years?	miles
How many miles of sidewalk do you plan to construct in the next three years?	miles

Rationale:

The presence of sidewalks in a community is associated with higher levels of walking and physical activity.^{171,172,173,174} Sidewalks also have tremendous safety benefits as they have been found to [reduce “walking along the roadway” type crashes by 86 percent](#) (PDF).¹⁷⁵ Paved shoulders reduce this type of crash by [71 percent](#) (PDF).¹⁷⁶ Walkways should be part of every new and renovated facility, and every effort should be made to retrofit streets that currently do not have sidewalks. While sidewalks are typically made of concrete, less expensive walkways

may be constructed of asphalt, crushed stone, or other materials if they are properly maintained and accessible (firm, stable, and slip-resistant).

Resources:

See PBIC’s page on [sidewalks and walkways](#).¹⁷⁷

3 Sidewalk and Curb Ramp Inventory

Describe the following inventories and update processes for your community:

Sidewalk inventory:
Curb ramp inventory:

Please describe your community’s sidewalk retrofit policy to fill gaps, repair sidewalks, and provide new sidewalks as needed.
What is the annual line item for sidewalk maintenance in your community’s budget?

Estimate the percent of intersections that have ADA accessible ramps on all four corners.	%
Estimate the percent of sidewalks that need to be repaired or replaced.	%
Does your community have a program to install curb ramps?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
How many ramps are installed per year?	
How many ramp installations are planned for next year?	
Does your community have a program to repair and replace broken sidewalks?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
How many locations (or linear feet) were fixed last year?	
How many repairs are planned for next year?	
Is there a method for residents to report missing or broken sidewalks and curb ramps?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please explain the process (e.g. online complaint form)	

Rationale:

A complete sidewalk network that includes continuous, well maintained, ADA-compliant sidewalks and curb ramps is one of the most important elements in making a community accessible to pedestrians of all abilities. In order to create a complete sidewalk network, communities need to determine the location and condition of existing sidewalks. There are many [different ways to inventory a city's curb ramps and sidewalks](#) (PDF).¹⁷⁸ Some communities use aerial photographs to begin their inventories. Agencies are increasingly using personal digital assistant tools (PDAs), geographic information system (GIS) software, online data entry, and other newer technologies to complete their inventory.

Having an inventory of the sidewalk system can then help identify and prioritize areas for improvement. Funding the completion and maintenance of the sidewalk system can be challenging. Cities that have comprehensive sidewalk networks don't always have more money, but they frequently prioritize pedestrian projects differently than others.

Curb ramp design is especially important for wheelchair users. Corners should typically have two curb ramps, one for each street that is to be crossed. Curb ramps should also be designed to include level landings, without which the sidewalk can be quite difficult to navigate in a wheelchair. Additionally, detectable warnings, a distinctive surface pattern of domes detectable by cane or underfoot, are used to alert people with vision impairments of their approach to streets and hazardous drop-offs. The ADA Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG) require these warnings on the surface of curb ramps (which remove a tactile cue otherwise provided by curb faces) and at other areas where pedestrian ways transition to vehicular ways.

Resources:

Funding for pedestrian facilities can come from a variety of sources and may sometimes require some ingenuity. See some examples of [community fundraising and creative partnerships](#).

¹⁷⁹

[Institutionalization](#)¹⁸⁰ refers to the construction of good pedestrian infrastructure as part of normal public and private development. When pedestrian accommodation is institutionalized, it is automatically included in funding. Funding can come from both [state and local government sources](#)¹⁸¹ and [private sources](#).¹⁸²

See Chapter 6 of [How to Develop a Pedestrian Safety Action Plan](#).¹⁸³

["Putting Cities Back on Their Feet"](#) (PDF)¹⁸⁴ by Donald Shoup (2010) describes the benefits of point of sale requirements. These requirements stipulate that property owners must repair any broken sidewalk fronting their property before they sell their property.

[2012 MAP-21's](#)¹⁸⁵ Transportation Alternatives funding combines funding for Transportation Alternatives, Safe Routes to School, and recreational trails.

WFC Examples:

See **Austin, TX's** [GIS-based approach](#)¹⁸⁶ to sidewalk planning.

Seattle, WA, [inventoried and assessed](#) (PDF)¹⁸⁷ the quality of approximately 850 uncontrolled crosswalks in the City.

The [Sidewalk Inspection webpage](#)¹⁸⁸ for the **Minneapolis** Public Works Department clearly explains the procedure for residents to report urgent and non-urgent sidewalk issues. The site also lists repair options for property owners.

Residents in Gold-level **Ann Arbor, MI,** passed a [millage](#)¹⁸⁹ that will fund a 5-year program to repair every existing sidewalk in the City. In addition to the sidewalk repairs, Ann Arbor will continue to repair curb ramps to meet ADA requirements. Property owners were previously required to maintain sidewalks adjacent to their property, but will now pay about \$13 a year to support this program.

4 Bridges

Please indicate the number of bridges or overpasses in your community and how many of those provide for pedestrians through shoulders, sidewalks, or multiuse paths.

Number of bridges (excluding freeways):	
Number of bridges with pedestrian provisions on at least one side:	
Number of pedestrian overpasses (or bridges):	
Number of pedestrian underpasses:	

Identify the last three bridges built (or major reconstruction) in your community. Do the bridges provide pedestrian provisions on at least one side?

Bridge #1	
Bridge #2	
Bridge #3	

Identify bridges currently under design. Do the bridges provide pedestrian provisions on at least one side?

Rationale:

Bridges often provide the only safe pedestrian route across certain barriers in a community (freeways, railroad tracks, and natural barriers). Therefore, pedestrians should have access and safe facilities on all bridges in a community. Barriers between the pedestrian facility and vehicle travel lanes increase the comfort and safety for pedestrians. Pedestrian overpasses and underpasses should be built when there are no other convenient crossing options with proper consideration given to lighting, drainage, graffiti removal, security, and ADA requirements.

Resources:

See the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' [Guide for the Planning, Design, and Operation of Pedestrian Facilities](#).¹⁹⁰

This PBIC [guide](#)¹⁹¹ has information and resources on design considerations and best practices.

WFC Example:

Eugene, OR, currently has five bike/pedestrian bridges over the Willamette River.

5 Pedestrian Signaling

Does your community maintain a pedestrian signaling system?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please briefly describe initiatives your community has taken to ensure or improve pedestrian access, safety, and convenience at signalized intersections. Provide a link or attachment of the relevant policy or ordinance, if available.	
Link: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):	
Description:	
Do you provide pedestrian recall (pedestrians receiving a walk signal during every phase without using a push button) in high pedestrian corridors?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, when and where?	
Please describe any passive pedestrian detection (e.g. video, microwave) in your community.	
Approximately what percentage of intersections have accessible pedestrian signals with audible walk indications?	%
Approximately what percentage of intersection have pushbutton-integrated accessible pedestrian signals with audible and vibrotactile indications?	%

What is the average walk speed used to determine signal timing?	ft/s
Do you use right-turn-on-red restrictions?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, when and where?	
Do you use Leading Pedestrian Intervals?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, when and where?	
What is your maximum cycle length in your downtown?	
What is your maximum cycle length in your community?	
What speed is traffic progress for in downtown?	
What is the longest pedestrian crossing that you have?	

What is the policy on displaying Walk signals (e.g. >12 seconds or 25% of cycle length)?	
What percentage of intersections have countdown signals?	%

Rationale:

Pedestrian signal indications should be used at all traffic signals, unless the signal is located on a highway where walking is prohibited. In general, shorter cycle lengths (less than 90 seconds) and longer walk intervals provide better service to pedestrians and encourage better signal compliance. For optimal pedestrian service, signal operation with short cycle lengths works best. Pedestrian pushbuttons may be installed at locations where pedestrians are expected intermittently and should be located close to the crosswalk they serve. In the downtown environment and other pedestrian-oriented areas with close signal spacing, vehicle speeds can be reduced through effective signal progression along a corridor.

Signals may be supplemented with audible or other messages to make crossing information accessible for all pedestrians, including those with vision impairments. Accessible pedestrian signals provide information to pedestrians who are unable to see the visual walk indication and have also been found to help all pedestrians. This is particularly true of pedestrians that have poor visual contrast sensitivity and may be unable to see the visual walk indication reliably, particularly in bright sunlight.

Countdown pedestrian indications are required for all traffic signals by the MUTCD by 2014 and all existing pedestrian signal indicators must be replaced within 10 years. They must be designed to begin counting down at the beginning of the clearance (flashing DON'T WALK)

interval and can be on fixed-time or pushbutton operation. A [25 percent reduction in pedestrian crashes](#) (PDF)¹⁹² when compared to ordinary pedestrian signals has been found with countdown signals.

Prohibiting Right Turn on Red (RTOR) should be considered where and/or when there are high pedestrian volumes, or where there is a proven problem with motorists conflicting with pedestrians. This is due to motorists being so intent on looking for traffic approaching on their left that they may not be alert to pedestrians approaching on their right. A similar scenario exists with permissive left turns, which can be rectified with protected left turn phasing only.

A simple, useful change at signalized intersections is the use of a leading pedestrian interval (LPI). An LPI gives pedestrians an advance walk signal before the motorists get a green indication, giving the pedestrian several seconds to start in the crosswalk where there is a concurrent indication for vehicular traffic. Pedestrians are more visible to motorists and motorists are more likely to yield to them. This application has been used successfully for two decades in places such as New York City and studies have demonstrated reduced conflicts for pedestrians. The LPI is particularly effective where there are multiple lanes turning. To be useful to pedestrians with vision impairments, an LPI needs to be accompanied by an audible signal to indicate the walk interval. The LPI is now specifically allowed by Section 4E.06 of the 2009 MUTCD.

Resources:

The PedSafe [Web site](#)¹⁹³ and [manual](#)¹⁹⁴ provide the latest information available for improving the safety and mobility of those who walk. These online tools provide the user with a list of possible engineering, education, or enforcement treatments to improve pedestrian safety and/or mobility based on user input about a specific location.

For more information on engineering treatments for pedestrian safety consult:

- The Federal Highway Administration's [Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices \(MUTCD\)](#)¹⁹⁵
- The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' [Guide for the Planning, Design, and Operation of Pedestrian Facilities](#)¹⁹⁶
- Chapter 5 of [How to Develop a Pedestrian Safety Action Plan](#)¹⁹⁷
- The U.S. Access Board's [public rights-of-way guidelines](#)¹⁹⁸
- National Cooperative Highway Research Program's [Accessible Pedestrian Signals](#) (APS)¹⁹⁹ best practices
- PBIC's general overview of [signals and signs](#)²⁰⁰

WFC Example:

Many of Chicago’s pedestrian signals have been retrofitted with countdown signals and leading pedestrian interval signals. Signal timing is slower in areas with older populations.

6 Crosswalks

Please briefly describe initiatives your community has taken to ensure or improve pedestrian access, safety and convenience at crosswalks. In your description please address the following questions. Provide a link or attachment of the relevant policy or ordinance, if available.	
Link to policy or ordinance: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):	
Description:	
How are marked crosswalk locations selected?	
What is your standard crosswalk marking type (e.g., parallel lines, ladder style, high visibility, etc.)?	
Please describe your crosswalk inventory and update process:	
Are crosswalk markings regularly maintained?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Describe:	
Are in-road stop/yield signs ²⁰¹ used?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, how are these locations selected?	
Are advance stop/yield lines placed at multilane uncontrolled marked crosswalks in order to reduce multiple threat crashes?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Describe:	
Are there other pedestrian safety practices being used at crosswalks?	
Are pedestrian hybrid beacons and rectangular rapid flash beacons used? Please describe when are where (e.g. in close proximity to schools, bus stops, trail crossings, etc.):	

Rationale:

Marked crosswalks serve to highlight the right-of-way where motorists can expect pedestrians to cross. Various crosswalk marking patterns are given in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices; however, the international (also known as "ladder" or "zebra") markings are strongly preferred, particularly at uncontrolled locations, because they are far more visible, which is particularly important at night or in low light conditions (e.g., rain).

At midblock marked crosswalks, an advance stop/yield line can help prevent multiple threat crashes at crosswalks on multilane roads. This type of crash occurs when a driver stops too close to the crosswalk to let a pedestrian cross, masking visibility of the adjacent travel lane. An advance stop/yield line placed 6 to 15 m (20 to 50 ft.) ahead of the crosswalk can greatly reduce the likelihood of a multiple-threat crash, as this encourages drivers to stop back far enough so a pedestrian can see if a second motor vehicle is not stopping and take evasive action. The advance yield/stop line should be supplemented with "Stop Here For Pedestrians" signs (R1-5 or R1-5a) to alert drivers where to stop to let a pedestrian cross.

Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon or the HAWK (High-intensity Activated crosswalk) beacon is an effective traffic control device that uses traditional traffic and pedestrian signal heads but in a different configuration. These beacons are named Pedestrian Hybrid Beacons in the MUTCD and can be used to aid pedestrians and bicyclists at unsignalized crossings, particularly at high speed or volume locations. The hybrid beacon is now specifically allowed by Section 4F.02 of the 2009 MUTCD.

Another effective traffic control device is the rectangular rapid flash beacon. [Van Houten and Malenfant \(1992\)](#)²⁰² found motorist yield rates of over 80 percent with these devices on roadways with medians. These beacons are yellow, rectangular, and have a rapid "wig-wag" flash activated through active or passive detection.

Resources:

PBIC has more general information on [crosswalks](#)²⁰³ and [crossing enhancements](#).²⁰⁴ Recommended guidelines and priorities for crosswalk installation at uncontrolled locations are given in the FHWA document, [Safety Effect of Marked Versus Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations: Final Report and Recommended Guidelines](#).²⁰⁵

For best practices for crosswalk installation, see:

- The PedSafe [Web site](#)²⁰⁶ and [manual](#)²⁰⁷
- The FHWA's [Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices \(MUTCD\)](#)²⁰⁸
- The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' [Guide for the Planning, Design, and Operation of Pedestrian Facilities](#)²⁰⁹

- Chapter 5 of [How to Develop a Pedestrian Safety Action Plan](#)²¹⁰
- National Cooperative Highway Research Program’s [Accessible Pedestrian Signals \(APS\)](#)²¹¹ best practices
- PBIC’s general overview of [signals and signs](#)²¹²
- Examples of [model snow removal policies for city sidewalks](#)²¹³
- Columbia, MO, has [helpful policy and standards for pedestrian crossings](#) (PDF)²¹⁴

WFC Example:

Flagstaff, AZ’s [Safe Sidewalk Code](#)²¹⁵ prohibits snow on public sidewalks.

7 Roadway Design

Does your community design and build its own roadways?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
--	------------------------------	-----------------------------

What geometric features are being used to ensure or improve pedestrian access, safety and convenience? In your description please address the following questions. Provide a link or attachment of the relevant policy or ordinance, if available.

Are median crossing/refuge islands used? Is there a standard or typical roadway that these are used on? How many have been installed in the last three years (on new roadways or retrofits)? Are any more planned?
Link to island policy: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):
Description:
Do you routinely install curb extensions? How many have been installed in the last three years? Are any more planned?
Link to curb extension policy: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):
Description:
What is the standard curb radius (10', 15', 20', 25' 30', 35') for local, collector, and arterial streets?
Link to curb radius policy: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):
Description:

What other geometric design features are implemented for pedestrian safety?
Link to other design features: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):
Description:
Has your community taken initiatives to increase safety for people crossing the street at bus stops that are not located at signalized intersections?
Link to bus stop policy: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):
Description:

Please describe your community's use of multi-modal level of service (LOS) in the [2010 Highway Capacity Manual](#).²¹⁶ Please include information on standards, goals, and the hierarchy of pedestrian/transit/bicycle/vehicular LOS used to evaluate and design streets and intersections in your community.

Rationale:

Crossing islands—also known as center islands, refuge islands, pedestrian islands, or median slow points—are raised islands placed in the center of the street at intersections or midblock to help protect crossing pedestrians from motor vehicles. Center crossing islands let pedestrians to deal with only one direction of traffic at a time: they allow pedestrians to stop partway across the street and wait for an adequate gap in traffic before crossing the second half of the street. This kind of facility has been demonstrated to significantly decrease the percentage of pedestrian crashes by 25-50 percent^{217,218} and reduce all crashes by 30-35 percent,²¹⁹ thus making the roadway safer for all users.

Curb extensions—also known as bulb-outs or neckdowns—extend the sidewalk or curb line out into the parking lane, which reduces the effective street width. Curb extensions significantly improve pedestrian crossings by reducing the pedestrian crossing distance, visually and physically narrowing the roadway, improving the ability of pedestrians and motorists to see each other, and reducing the time that pedestrians are in the street. Curb extensions are only appropriate where there is an on-street parking lane. The turning needs of larger vehicles, such as school buses, need to be considered in curb extension design. Bicycle lanes (or shoulders, or whatever space is being used for bicycle travel) must not be eliminated or squeezed in order to create the curb extensions or islands.

One common pedestrian crash type involves a pedestrian who is struck by a right-turning vehicle at an intersection. A wide curb radius typically results in high-speed turning movements by motorists. Reconstructing the turning radius to a tighter turn will reduce turning speeds, shorten the crossing distance for pedestrians, and also improve sight distance between pedestrians and motorists. Curb radii can, in fact, be tighter than any modern guide would allow: older and some neo-traditional cities frequently have radii of 10 to 15 ft. (3 to 4.6 m) without suffering any detrimental effects. More typically, in new construction, the appropriate turning radius is about 15 ft (4.6 m) for residential streets and about 25 ft (7.6 m) for arterial streets with a substantial volume of turning buses and/or trucks.

The Bureau of Transportation Statistics' 2000 report [Freedom to Travel](#)²²⁰ discusses the barrier effect of roadways included problems due to wide roadways and complex signals.

One of the significant variables identified in the development of [Intersection Safety Indices \(ISI\)](#)²²¹ for pedestrians was the number of through lanes. More lanes mean wider roadways, creating a longer crossing distance which is less safe for pedestrians.

Resources:

For more information on geometric design, see:

- The Federal Highway Administration's [Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices](#)²²²
- The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' [Guide for the Planning, Design, and Operation of Pedestrian Facilities](#)²²³ or its [A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets](#)²²⁴
- Chapter 5 of [How to Develop a Pedestrian Safety Action Plan](#)²²⁵

The Federal Highway Administration's [Guidance Memorandum on Consideration and Implementation of Proven Safety Countermeasures](#)²²⁶ (2008) provides guidance for sidewalks and pedestrian refuges.

WFC Examples:

Gold-level **Hoboken, NJ**, began "'[daylighting](#)'"²²⁷ street corners to help improve visibility between oncoming vehicles and pedestrians in uncontrolled crosswalks. At \$40 each, the vertical delineators offer a cheaper, faster solution compared to constructing curb extensions. The City identified priority corners based on historical accident data and community input. See also:

- Seattle's [Rights of Way Improvement Manual](#)²²⁸
- San Francisco's [Better Streets Plan](#)²²⁹
- Charlotte's [Urban Street Design Guidelines](#)²³⁰

8 Traffic Calming

Please briefly describe your community's traffic calming practices and/or policies, and cite any relevant examples. Traffic calming practices may include road diets, lane diets (reduction in lane width) or streets with a pedestrian focus. Provide any relevant links or attachments, if available.

Link to calming practices document: Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):
Description of practices:
Please describe any recent road diets:
Please describe your traffic calming methods including typical treatments and site selection and prioritization:
What is the maximum speed limit at traffic signals in your community?
Do you have school zones and reduced speed limits? Please describe:
What is the posted speed of the majority of the arterial roads in your community?
What is the posted speed of the main roads in your downtown?

Rationale:

Traffic calming is a way to design streets that uses physical and visual cues to encourage motorists to drive more slowly. If done correctly, traffic calming reduces traffic speeds, the number and severity of crashes, and noise levels. It can also encourage walking because reduced speeds and improved aesthetics improve pedestrian comfort. Types of traffic calming techniques include horizontal shifts, vertical deflection, and closures.

A road diet typically reduces the number of travel lanes on a road, reallocating this space for other needs (pedestrian paths, bicycle lanes, transit facilities, etc.). Road diets provide many benefits to pedestrians, including reduced crossing distance, room for median islands to break the crossing into two simpler crossings, and a buffer zone for the sidewalk through the addition of wider sidewalks, parking, or bicycle lanes. As many roadways have been overbuilt, most communities have many road diet candidates. A typical road diet reduces a four lane road to a three lane road; this can often be done on roads with less than 15,000 ADT. Road diets

also make roads safer. One study found that a traditional 4-to-3 road diet resulted in a 29 percent crash reduction for all users.²³¹

Resources:

General information on [traffic calming solutions](#).²³² See also: Chapter 5 of [How to Develop a Pedestrian Safety Action Plan](#) (PDF).²³³

Learn more about road diets in [Road Diets: Fixing the Big Roads](#)(PDF)²³⁴ and [Evaluation of Lane Reduction "Road Diet" Measures and Their Effects on Crashes and Injuries](#)²³⁵. The [U.S. Traffic Calming Manual](#)²³⁶ is a great comprehensive resource for slowing speeds through a range of treatments and street types.

WFC Example:

Seattle's [Neighborhood Traffic Calming](#)²³⁷ program involves residents in the process of collecting data that will help determine if traffic calming is needed in a neighborhood. The [neighborhood traffic circles](#)²³⁸ in Seattle are a particularly impressive traffic calming tool.

9 Other Engineering Projects or Policies

Please briefly describe any other engineering projects or policies affecting walking in your community.

ENFORCEMENT

In many communities, enforcement is often neglected as a technique for making communities safer for walking. Communities that have created comfortable walking environments through engineering improvements or urban design features may still have safety concerns if traffic laws are not properly understood or adequately enforced. Enforcement activities work best when implemented in conjunction with education and awareness activities. Therefore, well-implemented enforcement campaigns will include public education campaigns, law enforcement officer training, and strategic law enforcement and ticketing strategies. A successful enforcement program usually requires that community members, law enforcement officials, city council members, and the media be involved.

1 Traffic Safety Officer

Does your community have a traffic safety officer within the Police Department?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Does your community have a traffic safety division/unit within the Police Department?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Does your community have police patrols on foot or bike?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If so, please describe (include the number of officers that are bike patrol certified).	
Please estimate the number of patrol officers and amount of time that is devoted to responsibilities concerning pedestrian laws and safety.	

Rationale:

Demands on a police department and the level of support departments can vary from community to community. Law enforcement agencies are stretched thin in most communities, and the typical response to requests for pedestrian enforcement support is "we don't have enough officers." By designating a traffic safety officer, communities can prioritize traffic safety enforcement.

Resources:

Some states and communities, like [South Carolina](#)²³⁹, have Traffic Safety Officer Training Programs.

WFC Example:

In **Santa Monica, CA**, the [Neighborhood Resource Officer \(NRO\) program](#)²⁴⁰ has been the face of the police department and has exemplified community-oriented policing since 2008.

2 Targeted Enforcement

Does your community use the following targeted enforcement programs to ensure the safety and security of pedestrians in crosswalks and on city streets, trails, and walkways?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
---	--

Indicate which of these elements, if any, are part of the enforcement program.

<p>Targeted pedestrian crossing operations (e.g., use of plain-clothed “decoy pedestrian” officers to enforce motorist yielding laws) Definition: From walkinginfo.org: These are well-prepared and coordinated operations designed to warn motorists that the yield-to-pedestrian laws will be enforced at target locations. Officers prepare a site by establishing the safe stopping distance to a crosswalk, with a 10 mi/h over the speed limit leeway. Cones are set out in that location. An officer in plain clothes steps into the crosswalk just before a vehicle passes the cone. If the motorist doesn't yield, either a warning or a citation is given, based on the severity of the incident.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe the extent and frequency of the operation (include how sites are selected):	
Media campaigns regarding enforcement	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe media activities and frequency:	
Speed feedback signs	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe the frequency of use (include how sites are selected):	
DUI checkpoint operations	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe the extent and frequency of operations (include how sites are selected):	
Targeted speed enforcement	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe the extent and frequency of the operations (include how sites are selected):	

Progressive ticketing Definition: From walkinginfo.org : Progressive ticketing is a method for introducing ticketing through a three-staged process: educating, warning, and ticketing.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe when and why progressive ticketing processes are deployed.	
Emergency call boxes.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe the extent of sites and how they are selected:	
Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe:	

Rationale:

Enforcement may be the most important element in getting drivers to yield to pedestrians in crosswalks. Enforcement programs should be coupled with an education component to ensure that drivers and pedestrians understand traffic rules. The awareness and education messages should tell people about the problem and why enforcement action is necessary. This will help generate public support and offset any complaints from those who are caught breaking the law. The public also needs to know what the enforcement activities will be and when they will start. Get the word out by mailing materials to residents living within a certain distance of the program area and by using local television stations and newspapers to spread the message. For some drivers, raising awareness may be enough to cause them to alter their unsafe actions; for others, seeing that traffic laws are being regularly enforced may change their behavior.

Resources:

See PBIC’s overviews of:

- [improving yield-to-pedestrian compliance](#)²⁴¹
- [law enforcement approaches](#)²⁴²
- [impact of in-street crosswalk signs](#)²⁴³

You can also refer to Chapter 8 of [Countermeasures That Work](#) (PDF)²⁴⁴ for more information on effective techniques to improve pedestrian safety.

Get more information on [relaying important messages](#)²⁴⁵ to target audiences, including child and college-age pedestrians, alcohol consumers, and older adults. Learn what [steps your community can take](#)²⁴⁶ if crime is preventing people from walking.

The Federal Highway Administration has created [education materials](#)²⁴⁷ for Spanish speaking bicyclists and pedestrians, and the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration has created [Guidelines for Developing Traffic Safety Materials for Spanish-Speaking Audiences](#).²⁴⁸

WFC Examples:

[Street Smart](#) is a public awareness and enforcement campaign in its sixth year that uses print, outdoor, online, and broadcast media channels throughout the metropolitan Washington area, including **Arlington, VA**, to reach a diverse audience.

In **Ann Arbor, MI**, the [Ann Arbor Safe Streets and Sidewalks Taskforce \(A2S3\)](#)²⁴⁹ addresses safety issues on streets and sidewalks. A2S3 has worked closely with police to launch targeted enforcement campaigns to enforce the right-of-way for pedestrians at crosswalks.

Philadelphia's [Give Respect/Get Respect](#)²⁵⁰ enhanced ticketing campaign combined educational materials with enforcement. The greatest number of citations was given for sidewalk cycling followed by driving with cell phones, running red lights, and wrong-way cycling.

Chicago Public Schools runs the [Safe Passages program](#),²⁵¹ which employs community watchers to patrol a five-block radius around high schools during the times when crime and gang violence is most likely to occur.

3 Citation

Please list the number of citations given in the past year for the following infractions:	
a. Failure to yield to pedestrians:	
b. Parking on sidewalks or too close to intersections or crosswalk:	
Does your community use photo enforcement technology that targets speeding and/or red light running?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If so, please describe the extent of the program and how long it has been in place.	

Rationale:

There are a variety of ways that law enforcement officers, community members, city planners, and public works departments can increase the safety of pedestrians from traffic dangers as well as crime. Police presence can be important elements in creating a safe and secure walking environment. It is important for law enforcement agencies to regularly enforce traffic violations, and those that relate to pedestrian safety should be enforced with the same rigor as others. Tracking traffic citations can help communities better understand what types of traffic safety problems exist. Note that it is important to have cooperation with the court system to ensure conviction of these violations.

Police departments may choose to use a progressive ticketing approach or a combined enforcement and education approach, as these tend to be better received and more effective than unexplained ticketing. Studies by [Van Houten and Malenfant](#) (2004) and others have found that enforcement aimed at motorists is more effective than enforcement aimed at pedestrians.²⁵²

Speed photo-radar enforcement (SPE) has also been shown to be effective in reducing automobile speeds. A 2009 study by [Medina et al.](#)²⁵³ showed that SPE significantly reduced downstream speeds among both cars and trucks.

Photo enforcement is also helpful in reducing the rate of red light running. Two studies by Retting et al. in Fairfax, VA,²⁵⁴ and [Oxnard, CA](#),²⁵⁵ showed 42 and 40 percent reductions in red-light violators after a publicized photo enforcement system was introduced.

Resources:

See the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration [Resource Guide on Laws Related to Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety](#),²⁵⁶ a downloadable interactive program, for more information pedestrian safety focused legislation from around the country. Citations alone are generally not enough to encourage motorists to yield to pedestrians. Learn about more effective [enforcement alternatives](#).²⁵⁷

See also Chapter 8 in [Countermeasures That Work](#) (PDF)²⁵⁸ for more information on effective enforcement techniques to improve pedestrian safety.

For more information on mid-block pedestrian crossing ordinances, refer to PBIC's [FAQ on jaywalking](#).²⁵⁹

See page 101 of [How to Develop a Pedestrian Safety Action Plan](#) (PDF)²⁶⁰ for an example of photo speed enforcement in addition to citations given by law enforcement officers.

WFC Example:

[Red light cameras \(automated photo enforcement\)](#)²⁶¹ are used in **Seattle, WA**.

4 Crossing Guards

Please briefly describe your community's policies and practices regarding the use of adult crossing guards at elementary and middle schools. Include any information about the criteria for placement of adult crossing guards, training programs, crossing procedures, crossing guard signs and equipment, and law enforcement strategies at crossing guard locations.

Provide a link or attachment of any relevant policies, if available.

Link:

Attachment (RTF, PDF, or Word doc only):

Rationale:

Adult school crossing guards play an important role in the lives of children who walk or bicycle to school. They help children safely cross the street at key locations. They also remind drivers of the presence of children who walk. The presence of adult crossing guards can lead to more parents feeling comfortable about their children walking or bicycling to school. While the primary role of an adult school crossing guard is to guide children safely across the street, children also remain responsible for their own safety. In this respect, a guard plays another key function—a role model who helps children develop the skills necessary to cross streets safely at all times.

Designing and implementing an adult school crossing guard program is largely the decision of local communities. Some federal guidance exists, and there are some state and local requirements pertaining to the operation of guard programs, but these vary across the country. Ideally, the development of an adult school crossing guard program involves a community partnership that includes the expertise of law enforcement agencies, traffic engineering or planning departments, and school systems. Working together with parents, this community group identifies the locations where adult school crossing guards are needed and the appropriate number of guards for each location. The group establishes crossing procedures for a variety of traffic situations, hires, trains and equips the guards, and secures long-term funding for the program.

Resources:

For guidance on implementing a school crossing guard program, see the [Adult Crossing Guard Guidelines](#) (PDF),²⁶² developed by the National Center for Safe Routes to School.

WFC Examples:

The [Child Safety Program](#)²⁶³ in **Austin, TX**, was responsible for hiring and supervising more than 175 crossing guards at 154 locations near or in front of 67 schools.

Bronze-level **Forest Park, IL**'s [School Travel Plan](#) (PDF)²⁶⁴ makes specific recommendations for increasing and training crossing guards.

5 Department Cooperation

Do police work regularly with traffic engineers and planners to review sites in need of safety improvement for motorists and pedestrians?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Describe:	
Does your community use crash and/or fatality data to identify problem areas and potential solutions?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Describe:	

Does your community use a Data-Driven Approach to Crime and Traffic Safety (DDACTS) to understand the overlap between high-crime areas and traffic safety concerns?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If so, describe any DDACTS training you have undertaken and/or the process you use to prioritize traffic safety in relation to other police interests:	

Rationale:

Some communities target enforcement in areas where there is a known safety problem. This can be an effective strategy if the safety problem is caused by pedestrian or driver behavior. Unlike vehicle crashes, crash rates for pedestrians are typically not used, since pedestrian volumes are usually not known. Instead, high pedestrian crash locations, corridors, and targeted areas should be initially identified by comparing the total number of pedestrian crashes.

Improving pedestrian safety in a community or region is typically the result of implementing different safety treatments and changing agency design policies. Crash countermeasures, or treatments intended to address pedestrian safety concerns, can take several forms: operational and construction projects intended to fix specific problems; changes in design guidelines to help improve streets and intersections in future projects; and education and enforcement programs aimed at achieving changes in motorist and pedestrian behavior or attitude. By partnering with engineers, law enforcement officers can help identify and improve pedestrian

safety problems. Addressing pedestrian safety is an interdisciplinary undertaking that will require communication among agencies.

Resources:

Learn more about [developing partnerships with law enforcement](#)²⁶⁵ and other diverse [people and agencies](#)²⁶⁶ to address pedestrian safety issues.

See Chapter 4 in [How to Develop a Pedestrian Safety Action Plan](#) (PDF)²⁶⁷ for more information on selecting areas for targeted enforcement and other safety countermeasures. For example, Oakland, CA, (page 27) and Miami-Dade, FL, (page 29) are using crash data to identify potential traffic improvements.

See also Chapter 8 in [Countermeasures that Work](#) (PDF).²⁶⁸

[Data-Driven Approaches to Crime and Traffic Safety](#)²⁶⁹ (DDACTS) integrates location-based crime and traffic crash data to determine the most effective methods for deploying law enforcement and other resources. Drawing on the deterrent value of highly visible traffic enforcement and the knowledge that crimes often involve motor vehicles, the goal of DDACTS is to reduce crime, crashes, and traffic violations across the country.

WFC Example:

In 2007, **Seattle** formed a special traffic unit called the [Aggressive Driver Response Team \(ADRT\)](#)²⁷⁰ to target aggressive and dangerous drivers in corridors with well-documented traffic safety issues.

6 Other Enforcement

Please describe any other ways that your community's police department addresses the pedestrian concerns in your community.

EVALUATION

By incorporating planning, education, encouragement, engineering, and enforcement countermeasures, a community can have a direct impact on pedestrian safety and walkability. Evaluation of the pedestrian environment and behavior plays a crucial role in identifying problems and selecting countermeasures. In order to truly understand local pedestrian needs and safety issues, a community should use effective evaluation strategies.

1 Pedestrian Counts/Surveys

Does your community have an ongoing pedestrian counting and/or survey program that allows for long-term trend analysis of walking trips?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If so, please describe (including when the program began, frequency of counts, number of sites, counter/surveyor training or recruitment, etc.).	

Rationale:

While surveys such as the Census, the National Household Travel Survey, and the [National Survey of Pedestrian and Bicyclist Attitudes and Behaviors](#)²⁷¹ can shed some light on national mode share and travel behavior, they do not necessarily reflect local trends. The best way to estimate the numbers of people who walk in a particular city or town is to conduct frequent, comprehensive pedestrian counts. Local counts allow municipalities to understand where, when, and how often people are walking in a community. This can help when determining how to prioritize walking improvements. Walk counts can also help communities evaluate if infrastructure treatments or other programs have affected walking volumes.

Resources:

The Federal Highway Administration document [Pedestrian and Bicycle Data Collection Systems in United States Communities](#)²⁷² describes how communities across the country are conducting walking counts.

Arizona's use of pedestrian surveys to gather information is described on page 33 of [How to Develop a Pedestrian Safety Action Plan](#) (PDF).²⁷³

[The National Bicycle and Pedestrian Documentation Project](#)²⁷⁴ co-sponsored by Alta Planning and Design and the Institute of Transportation Engineers Bicycle and Pedestrian Documentation Committee, has created a model for collecting bicycle and pedestrian data in the hopes of collecting more accurate measures of use and demand of pedestrian and bicycle facilities.

WFC Example:

[Pedestrian counts](#)²⁷⁵ in **Minneapolis, MN**, helped the City evaluate the success of the [Nonmotorized Transportation Pilot Program](#).²⁷⁶

A number of other WFCs, including [Santa Barbara, CA](#), (PDF)²⁷⁷ and [Seattle, WA](#),²⁷⁸ also conduct pedestrian counts.

2 Evaluation of Pedestrian Areas

Has your community used any of the following tools to evaluate major pedestrian areas (town centers, major activity areas, routes to school, etc.) in order to identify problem areas and potential solutions?

Walkability Checklists	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe when and where used:	
Pedestrian Intersection Safety Index	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe when and where used:	
Pedestrian Level of Service (LOS) tools	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe when and where used:	
Pedestrian Road Safety Audit Guidelines and Prompt Lists or other audit instruments	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe when and where used:	
Health Impact Assessments	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe when and where used:	
Smart Growth Scorecards	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe when and where used:	
Web-based or smartphone applications for resident feedback and input	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe applications:	

Other Evaluation Tools	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe:		

Rationale:

Audits can help with pre/post evaluation of a particular roadway or traffic calming project. They should be conducted on a regular basis by a team of agency representatives to identify pedestrian problems and countermeasures/solutions. It is important that the audit team is comprised of individuals with diverse backgrounds (such as engineering, planning, health, and law enforcement) to ensure that the audit will be comprehensive in nature and that the necessary solutions can be implemented.

Resources:

[Walkability checklists](#)²⁷⁹ are a quick way to determine if your neighborhood has any major safety concerns for pedestrians. This educational [video](#)²⁸⁰ details how to begin assessing your community's sidewalks.

[The Pedestrian and Bicyclist Intersection Safety Indices](#)²⁸¹ can help users identify the intersections that most merit pedestrian safety improvements.

The [Pedestrian Road Safety Audit Guidelines and Prompt Lists](#)²⁸² are intended to provide guidance for independent audit teams that are assessing pedestrian safety on particular roadways.

[Smart growth scorecards](#)²⁸³ can help your community choose the best tools to make growth and development benefit everyone. They can also help you measure your community's progress towards the best possible future.

[Health Impact Assessments](#)²⁸⁴ (HIAs) predict the health effects that a project will have prior to implementation. Conducting a health impact assessment can bring new information to light about costs and benefits that may not have been previously considered.

WFC Examples:

Flagstaff, AZ's efforts to supplement Journey to Work data with meaningful local data led to the development of the [Flagstaff Trip Diary Survey](#).²⁸⁵ Through this, the City showed that over 13% of all trips were walking and 9% were bicycling.

Corvallis, OR, and Wilsonville, OR, have both used the [National Citizen Survey \(NCS\)](#)²⁸⁶ in order to compare quality of life, local government services, and community characteristics in

their cities to other cities across the U.S. Questions about the public’s attitude towards walking have provided useful information for planning, measuring performance, and evaluating programs and policies.

Philadelphia, PA, conducted a [Health Impact Assessment](#)²⁸⁷ to explore the effect that its proposed Lower South District Plan would have on health-related factors, such as transportation mode, air quality, physical activity, land use, and access to services.

Silver-level **Decatur, GA**, completed a [rapid Health Impact Assessment on the City's transportation plan](#)²⁸⁸ in 2007, which exposed the community and politicians to the positive impacts of multi-modal transportation in Decatur.

The HIA process also applies to small, rural towns: The [Davidson Design for Life \(DD4L\) Committee](#)²⁸⁹ is working on a health impact assessment analyzing the existing street design standards in Bronze-level **Davidson, NC**.

3 Pre-Post Evaluations

Does your community routinely conduct pre/post evaluations of road projects and traffic calming with respect to pedestrian crashes, volumes and motor vehicle speeds?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please explain when this is typically done and provide a recent example:	

Rationale:

While agencies often evaluate the impact of a project or development on auto traffic with a traffic impact assessment, other modes may not be considered. Road projects of any size can have serious implications for pedestrians; your community should include them in any assessment.

Resources:

The Federal Highway Administration’s [Pedestrian Road Safety Audit Guidelines and Prompt Lists](#)²⁹⁰ can help plan for and evaluate pedestrian safety of particular infrastructure projects.

4 Walk Score

Using [Walk Score](#)²⁹¹ please type in the address for your City Hall and provide the following information:

City Hall Walk Score	
City Hall Transit Score	
Top 10% Walk Score for your community (located at the bottom of the web page)	
Average Walk Score for your community (located at the bottom of the web page)	
Please provide the Overall Sprawl Score for your community's metropolitan region:	

Rationale:

Walk Score will give a community a sense of its development density and the diversity of land uses, which can roughly translate into walkability. While Walk Score analysis does not include pedestrian infrastructure or pedestrian safety in its analysis, the scores from places around town can indicate whether development and land use patterns in a community support walking. A mix of land uses brings many other benefits in addition to creating a more walk friendly place. CEOs for Cities' [Walking the Walk study](#) (2009)²⁹² found that in the typical metropolitan area, a one-point increase in Walk Score was associated with an increase in value ranging from \$700 to \$3,000 depending on the market.

Resources:

[Walk Score](#)²⁹³ is a website that calculates how walkable a geographic area is based on the variety and number of destinations, such as grocery stores, schools, and parks that are within walking distance.

Smart Growth America's 2002 report, [Measuring Sprawl and its Impact](#),²⁹⁴ evaluated metropolitan sprawl based on residential density, neighborhood mix of uses, strength of activity centers and downtowns, and accessibility of the street network.

5 Other Evaluation

Please describe any other ways that your community evaluates pedestrian accommodation, walking rates, and pedestrian safety.

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS

1. What are the three primary reasons your city deserves to be designated as a Walk Friendly Community?

2. What are the three aspects of your community most in need of improvement in order to accommodate pedestrians?

3. How can your community leverage its designation as a Walk Friendly Community to increase the number of people walking and make walking safer?

REFERENCES

- ¹ www.walk21.com/charter/
- ² <http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/index.xhtml>
- ³ <http://factfinder2.census.gov/>
- ⁴ <http://www.census.gov/population/www/socdemo/journey.html>
- ⁵ <http://nhts.orl.gov/>
- ⁶ http://www.bts.gov/programs/omnibus_surveys/targeted_survey/2002_national_survey_of_pedestrian_and_bicyclist_attitudes_and_behaviors/
- ⁷ Chapter 3: http://www.sf-planning.org/ftp/files/Citywide/WalkFirst/WalkFirst_Final_Document_102711.pdf
- ⁸ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/facts/data.cfm>
- ⁹ <http://www.fars.nhtsa.dot.gov/Main/index.aspx>
- ¹⁰ http://drusilla.hsrrc.unc.edu/cms/downloads/15-year_report.pdf
- ¹¹ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/develop/activities.cfm>
- ¹² <http://www.walkinginfo.org/develop/sample-plans.cfm>
- ¹³ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/faqs/answer.cfm?id=20>
- ¹⁴ <http://www.international.fhwa.dot.gov/pubs/pl10010/pl10010.pdf>
- ¹⁵ http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/ped_bike/ped_focus/docs/fhwasa0512.pdf
- ¹⁶ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/faqs/answer.cfm?id=4199>
- ¹⁷ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=4553>
- ¹⁸ http://drusilla.hsrrc.unc.edu/cms/downloads/FDOT_BDK84_GuideforReviewAssessmentofLocalMobilityPlans.pdf
- ¹⁹ http://www.seattle.gov/transportation/pedestrian_masterplan/
- ²⁰ <http://thehdmt.org/objectives/view/8>
- ²¹ <http://www.forestpark.net/pdf/Agendas/Agenda%202012/Agenda01092012.pdf>
- ²² http://www.ci.corvallis.or.us/downloads/cd/PLANNING/Comprehensive_Plan/TEXT/Article%2011--Transportation.pdf
- ²³ <http://www.centallanertsp.org/sites/default/files/Eugene%20PBMP%20Final%20small.pdf>
- ²⁴ http://drusilla.hsrrc.unc.edu/cms/downloads/Checklist_Accessible_Sidewalks_Crossings.pdf
- ²⁵ <http://www.access-board.gov/prowac/>
- ²⁶ http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/civilrights/ada_qa.htm#q11
- ²⁷ <http://www.ada.gov/pca toolkit/toolkitmain.htm>
- ²⁸ <http://www.access-board.gov/outdoor/>
- ²⁹ <http://www.access-board.gov/rowdraft.htm>
- ³⁰ <http://www.access-board.gov/PROWAC/alterations/guide.pdf>
- ³¹ http://www.santabarbaraca.gov/Government/Accessibility/Reports/Transition_Plan.htm
- ³² <http://www.completestreets.org/>
- ³³ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=3968>
- ³⁴ <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/publicroads/10julaug/03.cfm>
- ³⁵ <http://clerk.ci.seattle.wa.us/~scripts/nph-brs.exe?d=CBOR&s1=115861.cbn.&Sect6=HITOFF&l=20&p=1&u=-/public/cbor2.htm&r=1&f=C>
- ³⁶ <http://alexandriava.gov/uploadedFiles/localmotion/info/gettingaround/Street%20Resolution.pdf>
- ³⁷ http://www.sf-planning.org/ftp/BetterStreets/proposals.htm#Final_Plan
- ³⁸ <http://charmeck.org/city/charlotte/Transportation/PlansProjects/Pages/Urban%20Street%20Design%20Guidelines.aspx>
- ³⁹ <http://www.santabarbaraca.gov/NR/rdonlyres/6F843C4B-D8FA-405F-A6B4-DD7E66795EDA/0/CirculationElement.pdf>
- ⁴⁰ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/develop/activities-participation.cfm>
- ⁴¹ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/funding/institutionalization-building.cfm>
- ⁴² <http://www.walkinginfo.org/faqs/answer.cfm?id=4121>
- ⁴³ <http://www.walkboston.org/>
- ⁴⁴ <http://www.eugene-or.gov/DocumentCenter/Home/View/2227>
- ⁴⁵ http://www.seattle.gov/transportation/pm_pmpag.htm
- ⁴⁶ <http://www.ci.davidson.nc.us/DocumentView.aspx?DID=1300>
- ⁴⁷ Bureau of Transportation Statistics. (2004). *Sidewalks promote walking, BTS Issue Brief, No. 12*. Washington, DC: Department of Transportation. http://www.bts.gov/publications/issue_briefs/number_12/pdf/entire.pdf
- ⁴⁸ Fulton, J.E., Shisler, J.L., Yore, M.M., & Casperson, C. (2005). Active transportation to school: Findings from a national survey. *Research Quarterly for Exercise & Sport*, 76(3), 352-357. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16270712>

- ⁴⁹ Institute of Medicine. (2005). Does the built environment influence physical activity? Examining the evidence. Washington, DC: *Institute of Medicine and Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Report No. 282.* <http://www.trb.org/Main/Blurbs/155343.aspx>
- ⁵⁰ Saelens, B.E. & Handy, S.L. (2008). Built environment correlates of walking: A review. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 40(7S), S550-S556. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18562973>
- ⁵¹ Owen, N., Humpel, N., Leslie, E. Bauman, A., & Sallis, J. F. (2004). Understanding environmental influences on walking: review and research agenda. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 27(1), 67-76. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15212778>
- ⁵² http://budget.townofcary.org/engineering/SidewalkWeb_noside.htm
- ⁵³ http://www.townofcary.org/Departments/Engineering/Streets_and_Sidewalks/Sidewalks_Projects.htm
- ⁵⁴ <http://a2gov.org/sidewalk>
- ⁵⁵ Frank, L.D., Andresen, M.A., & Schmid, T.L. (2004). Obesity relationships with community design, physical activity, and time spent in cars. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 27(2), 87-96. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15261894>
- ⁵⁶ Frank, L.D., Sallis, J.F., Conway, T.L., Chapman, J.E., Saelens, B.E. & Bachman, W. (2006). Many pathways from land use to health: Associations between neighborhood walkability and active transportation, body mass index, and air quality. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 72(1), 75-87. <http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/content-content=a787384888-db=all-order=page>
- ⁵⁷ Saelens, B. E., Sallis, J. F., Black, J. B. & Chen, D. (2003). Neighborhood-based differences in physical activity: An environment scale evaluation. *American Journal of Public Health*, 93(9), 1552-1558. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12948979>
- ⁵⁸ Smith K.R., Brown B.B., Yamada I., Kowaleski-Jones L., Zick C.D., & Fan J.X. (2008). Walkability and body mass index density, design, and new diversity measures. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 35(3), 237-44. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18692736>
- ⁵⁹ Krizek, K. J. (2003a). Operationalizing neighborhood land use-travel behavior research and regional modeling. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 22, 270-287. <http://carbon.ucdenver.edu/~kkrizek/pdfs/Operationalizing%20NA.pdf>
- ⁶⁰ Krizek, K. J. (2003b). Residential relocation and changes in urban travel: does neighborhood-scale urban form matter? *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 69(3), 265-281. <http://trid.trb.org/view.aspx?id=662326>
- ⁶¹ Berrigan, D., Pickle, L. W., & Dill, J. (2010). Associations between street connectivity and active transportation. *International Journal of Health Geographics*, 9(20), 1-18. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20412597>
- ⁶² Dill, J. (2004). *Measuring network connectivity for bicycling and walking.* <http://www.enhancements.org/download/trb/trb2004/TRB2004-001550.pdf>
- ⁶³ Ewing, R. (n.d.). *Pedestrian- and transit- friendly design: A primer for smart growth.* Washington, DC: Smart Growth Network. http://www.epa.gov/piedpage/pdf/ptfd_primer.pdf
- ⁶⁴ Zegeer, C.V., Sandt, L., Scully, M., Ronkin, M., Cynecki, M., & Lagerwey, P. (2008). *How to develop a pedestrian safety action plan.* (FHWA-SA-05-12). Chapel Hill, NC: Pedestrian Bicycle Information Center. <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=229>
- ⁶⁵ <http://pedshed.net/?p=71>
- ⁶⁶ <http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm116.htm>
- ⁶⁷ http://www.ci.corvallis.or.us/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=2346#chapters
- ⁶⁸ http://www.ci.corvallis.or.us/downloads/cd/Land%20Development%20Code-%20Ordinance%20Exhibit%20A/CHAPTER%204_10.pdf
- ⁶⁹ <http://charmack.org/city/charlotte/Transportation/PlansProjects/Documents/2011%20TAP%20Update%20-%20Policy%20Document%20-%20Final.pdf>
- ⁷⁰ Brownson, R.C., Bake, E.A., Housemann, R.A., & Bacak, S.J. (2001). Environmental determinants of physical activity in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health*, 91(12). <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11726382>
- ⁷¹ Parks, S.E., Houseman, R.A., & Brownson, R.C. (2003) Differential correlates of physical activity in urban and rural adults of various socioeconomic backgrounds in the United States. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 57. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12490645>
- ⁷² <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=2067>
- ⁷³ <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/sidewalk2/>
- ⁷⁴ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/engineering/trails.cfm>
- ⁷⁵ <http://www.railstotrails.org/ourWork/advocacy/activeTransportation/makingTheCase/index.html>
- ⁷⁶ <http://www.railstotrails.org/index.html>
- ⁷⁷ <http://www.americantrails.org/>
- ⁷⁸ <http://az-flagstaff3.civicplus.com/index.aspx?nid=1379>
- ⁷⁹ <http://midtowngreenway.org/>
- ⁸⁰ Besser, L.M. & Dannenberg, A.L. (2005). Walking to public transit: Steps to help meet physical activity recommendations. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 29(4), 273-280. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16242589>
- ⁸¹ Institute of Medicine. (2005). Does the built environment influence physical activity? Examining the evidence. Washington, DC: *Institute of Medicine and Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Report No. 282.* <http://www.trb.org/Main/Blurbs/155343.aspx>

- ⁸² Hoehner, C. M., Brennan Ramirez, L. K., Elliott, M. B., Handy, S. L., & Brownson, R. C. (2005). Perceived and objective environmental measures and physical activity among urban adults. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 28(2S2), 105-116. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15694518>
- ⁸³ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/transit/>
- ⁸⁴ <http://transweb.sjsu.edu/mtiportal/research/publications/documents/06-06/MTI-06-06.pdf>
- ⁸⁵ http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/ped_bike/ped_transit/ped_transguide/transit_guide.pdf
- ⁸⁶ <http://www.state.nj.us/transportation/business/localaid/documents/ssttHandbook2.pdf>
- ⁸⁷ <http://www.nyc.gov/html/dot/html/sidewalks/safertstransit.shtml>
- ⁸⁸ <http://drusilla.hsrc.unc.edu/cms/downloads/OTH.TransitWaitingEnvironments.pdf>
- ⁸⁹ <http://www.walkarlington.com/>
- ⁹⁰ <http://www.commuterpage.com/accs/index.htm>
- ⁹¹ <http://www.ltd.org/>
- ⁹² <http://shoup.bol.ucla.edu/>
- ⁹³ Pratt, R.H. (2000). *Traveler response to transportation system changes*. (DOT-FH-11-9579). Washington, DC: Federal Transit Administration. www.trb.org/trbnet/projectdisplay.asp?projectid=1033
- ⁹⁴ Mukhija, V. & Shoup, D. (2006). Quality versus quantity in off-street parking requirements. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 72(3). <http://www.its.ucla.edu/research/rpubs/pubdetails.cfm?ID=199>
- ⁹⁵ <http://shoup.bol.ucla.edu/People.Parking.Cities.pdf>
- ⁹⁶ <http://www.epa.gov/smartgrowth/pdf/EPAParkingSpaces06.pdf>
- ⁹⁷ http://www.vtppi.org/park_man.pdf
- ⁹⁸ <http://www.smgov.net/Departments/Transportation/transportation-management-content.aspx?id=22631>
- ⁹⁹ <http://www.hobokennj.org/departments/transportation-parking/surrenderyourpermit/>
- ¹⁰⁰ http://www.mtc.ca.gov/planning/smart_growth/parking_seminar/BestPractices.pdf
- ¹⁰¹ <http://sfpark.org/>
- ¹⁰² <http://alexandriava.gov/uploadedFiles/planning/info/SharedParkingFactSheet.pdf>
- ¹⁰³ <http://www.usgbc.org/leed/nd>
- ¹⁰⁴ Ewing, R. (n.d.). *Pedestrian- and transit- friendly design: A primer for smart growth*. Washington, DC: Smart Growth Network. http://www.epa.gov/piedpage/pdf/ptfd_primer.pdf
- ¹⁰⁵ Hou, N., Popkin, B. M., Jacobs, D. R., Jr., Song, Y., Guilkey, D., Lewis, C. E., et al. (2010). Longitudinal associations between neighborhood-level street network with walking, bicycling, and jogging: the CARDIA study. *Health & Place*, 16(6), 1206-1215. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20801072>
- ¹⁰⁶ Leinberger, C. B. (2007). Back to the future: The need for patient equity in real estate development and finance. *The Brookings Institution Metropolitan Policy Program Research Brief*. Washington, DC: The Brookings Institution. http://www3.brookings.edu/metro/pubs/200701226_patientequity.pdf
- ¹⁰⁷ Saelens, B.E. & Handy, S.L. (2008). Built environment correlates of walking: A review. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 40(7S), S550-S556. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18562973>
- ¹⁰⁸ <http://www.epa.gov/smartgrowth/pdf/density.pdf>
- ¹⁰⁹ http://www.epa.gov/smartgrowth/pdf/school_travel.pdf
- ¹¹⁰ <http://www.epa.gov/schools/siting/>
- ¹¹¹ <http://postcarboncities.net/node/1466>
- ¹¹² <http://vancouver.ca/commsvc/ecocity/>
- ¹¹³ <http://www.arlingtonva.us/departments/EnvironmentalServices/dot/planning/mplan/mtp/images/file59216.pdf>
- ¹¹⁴ http://library.municode.com/HTML/12078/level4/CO_CH34ZO_ARTIXGEAPRE_DIV6BUSTNE.html#CO_CH34ZO_ARTIXGEAPRE_DIV6BUSTNE_S34-1105ACBUST
- ¹¹⁵ <http://www.preservationnation.org/main-street/about-main-street/the-programs/>
- ¹¹⁶ <http://www.sixcorners.com/>
- ¹¹⁷ <http://www.preservationnation.org/contacts/main-street-designated-programs/2012/designatedNC28036.html>
- ¹¹⁸ Rodríguez, D. A., Brisson, E. M., & Estupiñán, N. (2009). The relationship between segment-level built environment attributes and pedestrian activity around Bogota's BRT stations. *Transportation Research Part D*, 14, 470-478. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S136192090900087X>
- ¹¹⁹ Owen, N., Humpel, N., Leslie, E. Bauman, A., & Sallis, J. F. (2004). Understanding environmental influences on walking: review and research agenda. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 27(1), 67-76. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15212778>
- ¹²⁰ <http://smartgrowth.umd.edu/urbandesignqualities.html>
- ¹²¹ <http://www.aia.org/aiaucmp/groups/aia/documents/pdf/aias077944.pdf>

Walk Friendly Communities Community Assessment Tool

- 122 http://www.bikewalk.org/pdfs/2010/IPA_full.pdf
- 123 <http://www.seattle.gov/transportation/rowmanual/manual/>
- 124 <http://sfpavementtoparks.sfplanning.org/>
- 125 http://www.cityofchicago.org/content/dam/city/depts/cdot/Streetscape_Design_Guidelines.pdf
- 126 <http://www.seattle.gov/transportation/artplan.htm>
- 127 <http://www.eugene-or.gov/index.aspx?NID=1102>
- 128 <http://www.austintexas.gov/department/art-public-places>
- 129 <http://saferoutesinfo.org>
- 130 www.saferoutesinfo.org
- 131 <http://www.walktoschool.org/>
- 132 http://www.saferoutesinfo.org/guide/walking_school_bus/pdf/wsb_guide.pdf
- 133 <http://drusilla.hsrb.unc.edu/cms/downloads/walkabilitychecklist.pdf>
- 134 http://guide.saferoutesinfo.org/engineering/school_route_maps_and_the_tools_to_create_them.cfm
- 135 <http://www.dot.state.ak.us/stwdplng/saferoutes/assets/Student-Travel-tally-sheet.pdf>
- 136 http://guide.saferoutesinfo.org/case_studies/
- 137 <http://www.saferoutespartnership.org/>
- 138 <http://saferoutesambassadors.org/>
- 139 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/training/pbic/video.cfm>
- 140 <http://www.norpc.org/assets/pdf-documents/Forms/Agenda%20New%20Orleans%20ped-bike%20design.attendee%20version%20final.pdf>
- 141 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/education/>
- 142 <http://www.pednet.org/programs/social-marketing.asp>
- 143 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=4479>
- 144 <http://www.cdc.gov/YouthCampaign/>
- 145 <http://startwalkingnow.org/home.jsp>
- 146 <http://www.smarttripseugene.com/>
- 147 <http://bikewalkmove.org/>
- 148 <http://www.carfreediet.com>
- 149 <http://www.walkerlinton.com>
- 150 <http://www.flagstaff.az.gov/index.aspx?NID=1894>
- 151 http://www.eatsmartmovemorenc.com/WalkingMapGuide/Texts/WalkingMapGuide_lowrez.pdf
- 152 http://www.centercityphila.org/docs/walkphila_infosheet.pdf
- 153 <http://www.ridesmart.com/Index.aspx?page=190>
- 154 <http://www.ridesmart.com/Index.aspx?page=200>
- 155 <http://www.sfcityguides.org/>
- 156 <http://downtownnola.com/pages/map/>
- 157 <http://feetfirst.org/walks/maps>
- 158 <http://openstreetsproject.org/>
- 159 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=4349>
- 160 <http://sundaystreetssf.com/>
- 161 <http://openstreetsmpls.com/>
- 162 <http://www.seattle.gov/transportation/summerstreets.htm>
- 163 <http://www.eugene-or.gov/index.aspx?NID=655>
- 164 <http://www.ridesmart.com/index.aspx?page=220>
- 165 <http://openstreetsproject.org/philadelphia/>
- 166 <http://openstreetsproject.org/hoboken/>
- 167 <http://openstreetsproject.org/annarbor/>
- 168 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=2067>
- 169 <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/sidewalk2/>
- 170 <http://www.ci.corvallis.or.us/downloads/pw/106.pdf>
- 171 Bureau of Transportation Statistics. (2004). *Sidewalks promote walking, BTS Issue Brief, No. 12*. Washington, DC: Department of Transportation. http://www.bts.gov/publications/issue_briefs/number_12/pdf/entire.pdf
- 172 Fulton, J.E., Shisler, J.L., Yore, M.M., & Casperson, C. (2005). Active transportation to school: Findings from a national survey. *Research Quarterly for Exercise & Sport*, 76(3), 352-357. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16270712>

- ¹⁷³ Institute of Medicine. (2005). Does the built environment influence physical activity? Examining the evidence. Washington, DC: *Institute of Medicine and Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Report No. 282.*
<http://www.trb.org/Main/Blurbs/155343.aspx>
- ¹⁷⁴ Saelens, B.E. & Handy, S.L. (2008). Built environment correlates of walking: A review. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 40(7S), S550-S556. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18562973>
- ¹⁷⁵ McMahon, P., Zegeer, C., Duncan, C., Knoblauch, R., Stewart, R., & Khattak, A. (2002). *An analysis of factors contributing to 'walking along roadway' crashes: Research study and guidelines for sidewalks and walkways.* (FHWA-RD-01-101). Washington, DC: Federal Highway Administration. http://drusilla.hsrc.unc.edu/cms/downloads/WalkingAlongRoadways_Study_Guidelines.pdf
- ¹⁷⁶ Gan, A., Shen, J., & Rodriguez, A. (2005). Update of Florida crash reduction factors and countermeasures to improve the development of district safety improvement projects. (BD015-04). Tallahassee, FL: Florida Department of Transportation.
http://edocs.dlis.state.fl.us/fldocs/dot/safety/completed/FDOT_BD015_04_rpt.pdf
- ¹⁷⁷ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/engineering/roadway-sidewalks.cfm>
- ¹⁷⁸ Quiroga, C. & Turner, S. (2008). *ADA compliance at transportation agencies: A review of practices.* College Station, TX: Texas Transportation Institute. [http://www.trb.org/NotesDocs/20-07\(249\)_FR.pdf](http://www.trb.org/NotesDocs/20-07(249)_FR.pdf)
- ¹⁷⁹ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/funding/sources-community.cfm>
- ¹⁸⁰ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/funding/institutionalization.cfm>
- ¹⁸¹ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/funding/sources-government.cfm>
- ¹⁸² <http://www.walkinginfo.org/funding/sources-private.cfm>
- ¹⁸³ <http://drusilla.hsrc.unc.edu/cms/downloads/howtoguide2006.pdf>
- ¹⁸⁴ <http://shoup.bol.ucla.edu/PuttingCitiesBackOnTheirFeet.pdf>
- ¹⁸⁵ http://www.americabikes.org/analysis_of_the_new_transportation_bill_map_21
- ¹⁸⁶ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=4408>
- ¹⁸⁷ <http://www.ite.org/membersonly/itejournal/pdf/2004/IB04AA42.pdf>
- ¹⁸⁸ <http://www.minneapolismn.gov/publicworks/sidewalks/index.htm>
- ¹⁸⁹ <http://a2gov.org/sidewalk>
- ¹⁹⁰ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=2067>
- ¹⁹¹ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/faqs/answer.cfm?id=4126>
- ¹⁹² Markowitz, F., Sciortino, S., Fleck, J. L., & Yee, B. M. (2006, January). Pedestrian countdown signals: Experience with an extensive pilot installation. *Institute of Transportation Engineers Journal*, 76(1), 43-48. Updated by Memorandum: Olea, R. (2006, February 7). Collision changes 2002-2004 and countdown signals.
<http://www.bikewalk.org/2006conference/vconference/presentations/PedestrianandBicycleTrafficSignalIssuesandDirections2.pdf>
- ¹⁹³ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/pedsafe/>
- ¹⁹⁴ http://www.walkinginfo.org/pedsafe/pedsafe_downloads.cfm
- ¹⁹⁵ <http://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/>
- ¹⁹⁶ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=2067>
- ¹⁹⁷ <http://drusilla.hsrc.unc.edu/cms/downloads/howtoguide2006.pdf>
- ¹⁹⁸ <http://www.access-board.gov/prowac/>
- ¹⁹⁹ <http://www.apsguide.org/about.cfm>
- ²⁰⁰ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/engineering/crossings-signals.cfm>
- ²⁰¹ http://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/hm/2009/part2/fig2b_02_longdesc.htm
- ²⁰² Van Houten, R. & Malenfant, L. (1992). The influence of signs prompting motorists to yield 50 ft (15.5 m) before marked crosswalks on motor vehicle-pedestrian conflicts at crosswalks with pedestrian activated flashing lights. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 24, 217-225.
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1376601>
- ²⁰³ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/engineering/crossings-crosswalks.cfm>
- ²⁰⁴ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/engineering/crossings-enhancements.cfm>
- ²⁰⁵ <http://www.tfsrc.gov/safety/pubs/04100/index.htm>
- ²⁰⁶ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/pedsafe/>
- ²⁰⁷ http://www.walkinginfo.org/pedsafe/pedsafe_downloads.cfm
- ²⁰⁸ <http://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/>
- ²⁰⁹ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=2067>
- ²¹⁰ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=2067>
- ²¹¹ <http://www.apsguide.org/about.cfm>
- ²¹² <http://www.walkinginfo.org/engineering/crossings-signals.cfm>
- ²¹³ <http://www.walkinginfo.org/faqs/answer.cfm?id=4125>
- ²¹⁴ <http://gocolumbiamo.com/PublicWorks/documents/Engineering/cwpolicy.pdf>

- 215 <http://www.flagstaff.az.gov/index.aspx?NID=1237>
- 216 <http://hcm.trb.org/>
- 217 Institute of Transportation Engineers. (2004, April). *Toolbox of countermeasures and their potential effectiveness to make intersections safer, Briefing Sheet 8*. Washington, DC: ITE, FHWA. <http://www.ite.org/technical/IntersectionSafety/toolbox.pdf>
- 218 Zegeer, C.V., Stewart, J.R., Huang, H.H., and Lagerwey, P.A. (2002). *Safety effects of marked vs. unmarked crosswalks at uncontrolled locations: Executive summary and recommended guidelines*. (FHWA-RD-01-075). Washington, DC: Federal Highway Administration. http://drusilla.hsrc.unc.edu/cms/downloads/Effects_Un_MarkedCrosswalks_Summary.pdf
- 219 Bahar, G., Parkhill, M., Hauer, E., Council, F., Persaud, B., Zegeer, C., et al. (2007, May). *Prepare parts I and II of a highway safety manual: Knowledge base for part II*. Unpublished material from NCHRP Project 17-27.
- 220 http://www.bts.gov/publications/freedom_to_travel/
- 221 <http://www.tfhr.gov/safety/pedbike/pubs/06125/06125.pdf>
- 222 <http://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/>
- 223 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=2067>
- 224 https://bookstore.transportation.org/Item_details.aspx?id=110
- 225 <http://drusilla.hsrc.unc.edu/cms/downloads/howtoguide2006.pdf>
- 226 <http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/policy/memo071008/>
- 227 <http://www.planetizen.com/node/41779>
- 228 <http://www.seattle.gov/transportation/rowmanual/manual/>
- 229 <http://www.sf-planning.org/ftp/BetterStreets/index.htm>
- 230 <http://charmeck.org/city/charlotte/Transportation/PlansProjects/Pages/Urban%20Street%20Design%20Guidelines.aspx>
- 231 Harkey, D. et al. (2008.) *NCHRP Report No. 617: Accident modification factors for traffic engineering and ITS improvements*. Washington, DC: Transportation Research Board. http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/nchrp/nchrp_rpt_617.pdf
- 232 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/engineering/calming.cfm>
- 233 <http://drusilla.hsrc.unc.edu/cms/downloads/howtoguide2006.pdf>
- 234 <http://www.walkable.org/assets/downloads/roaddiets.pdf>
- 235 <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/research/safety/10053/index.cfm>
- 236 <http://www.planning.org/media/trafficalming/>
- 237 http://www.seattle.gov/transportation/ntcp_calming.htm
- 238 <http://www.seattle.gov/transportation/trafficcircles.htm>
- 239 http://www.nhtsa.dot.gov/people/outreach/safedige/spring2003/spr03_w13_SC.htm
- 240 <http://santamonicapd.org/nro/>
- 241 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/faqs/answer.cfm?id=3921>
- 242 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/enforcement/programs-enforcement.cfm>
- 243 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/faqs/answer.cfm?id=3455>
- 244 http://ntl.bts.gov/lib/32000/32300/32356/6626_Countermeasures_01-06-10-v1.pdf
- 245 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/education/messages.cfm>
- 246 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/problems/problems-crime.cfm>
- 247 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=3467>
- 248 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=2321>
- 249 <http://annarbor.com/news/ann-arbor-police-begin-ticketing-motorists-who-dont-stop-for-pedestrians/>
- 250 <http://www.bicyclecoalition.org/content/give-respect-get-respect-enforcement-campaign>
- 251 http://www.cps.edu/Programs/Wellness_and_transportation/SafetyandSecurity/SafePassage/Pages/Safepassage.aspx
- 252 Van Houten, R., Malenfant, J.E.L. (2004). Effects of a driver enforcement program on yielding to pedestrians. *J Appl Behav Anal*. 2004 Fall; 37(3): 351–363. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1284509/>
- 253 Medina, J., Benekohal, R., Hajbabaie, A., Wang, M., & Chitturi, M. (2009). Downstream effects of speed photo-radar enforcement and other speed reduction treatments on work zones. *Journal of the Transportation Research Board*. Washington, D.C.: Transportation Research Board. <http://pubsindex.trb.org/view.aspx?id=882380>
- 254 Retting, R.A.; Williams, A.F.; Farmer, C.M. and Feldman, A.F. (1999). Evaluation of red light camera enforcement in Fairfax, Virginia. *ITE Journal* 69:30-34.
- 255 Retting, R.A.; Williams, A.F.; Farmer, C.M. and Feldman, A.F. (1999). Evaluation of red light camera enforcement in Oxnard, California. *Accident Analysis and Prevention* 31:169-74. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1447335/>
- 256 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=842>
- 257 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/faqs/answer.cfm?id=4119>
- 258 http://ntl.bts.gov/lib/32000/32300/32356/6626_Countermeasures_01-06-10-v1.pdf
- 259 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/faqs/answer.cfm?id=4127>

Walk Friendly Communities Community Assessment Tool

- 260 http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/ped_bike/ped_focus/docs/fhwasa0512.pdf
- 261 <http://www.seattle.gov/police/programs/redlight.htm>
- 262 http://www.saferoutesinfo.org/guide/crossing_guard/pdf/crossing_guard_guidelines_web.pdf
- 263 <http://www.austintexas.gov/department/child-safety-program>
- 264 www.forestparkschools.org/sites/default/files/fpstpfinalowres.pdf
- 265 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/enforcement/partnerships.cfm>
- 266 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/problems/help.cfm>
- 267 <http://drusilla.hsrc.unc.edu/cms/downloads/howtoguide2006.pdf>
- 268 http://ntl.bts.gov/lib/32000/32300/32356/6626_Countermeasures_01-06-10-v1.pdf
- 269 <http://www.nhtsa.gov/Driving+Safety/Enforcement+&+Justice+Services/Data-Driven+Approaches+to+Crime+and+Traffic+Safety+%28DDACTS%29>
- 270 <https://www.seattle.gov/police/traffic/ADRT.htm>
- 271 Royal, D. & Miller-Steiger, D. (2008). *Volume II: Findings report, national survey of bicyclist and pedestrian attitudes and behavior*. (DOT HS 810 972). Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.
- 272 <http://www.nhtsa.gov/Driving+Safety/Research+&+Evaluation/National+Survey+of+Bicyclist+and+Pedestrian+Attitudes+and+Behavior/>
- 273 http://drusilla.hsrc.unc.edu/cms/downloads/PBIC_Data_Collection_Case_Studies2005.pdf
- 274 http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/ped_bike/ped_focus/docs/fhwasa0512.pdf
- 275 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=4313>
- 276 <http://www.minneapolismn.gov/pedestrian/data/pedcounts>
- 277 http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/bicycle_pedestrian/nhttp/2012_report/page01.cfm
- 278 <http://www.santabarbaraca.gov/NR/rdonlyres/35E973CC-F2F5-4004-8020-FD102E6B8AA5/0/Chapter3ThePedestrianSystemToday.pdf>
- 279 <http://downtownseattle.com/Resources/PedestrianCounts>
- 280 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=12>
- 281 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/videos/pubdetail.cfm?picid=55>
- 282 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=2802>
- 283 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=3955>
- 284 <http://www.epa.gov/smartgrowth/scorecards/>
- 285 <http://www.cdc.gov/healthyplaces/hia.htm>
- 286 <http://flagstaff.az.gov/DocumentView.aspx?DID=6402>
- 287 <http://www.n-r-c.com/services/nationalcitizensurvey.html>
- 288 <http://phila2035.org/lower-south-hia-completed/>
- 289 http://www.cqgrd.gatech.edu/projects/decatour_transportation_plan/index.php
- 290 <http://www.ci.davidson.nc.us/index.aspx?nid=705>
- 291 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=705>
- 292 <http://www.walkinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=3955>
- 293 <http://www.walkscore.com/>
- 294 <http://www.ceosforcities.org/work/walkingthewalk>
- 295 <http://www.walkscore.com>
- 296 <http://www.smartgrowthamerica.org/research/measuring-sprawl-and-its-impact/>